doi: 10.2478/v10062-012-0026-9

## ANNALES UNIVERSITATIS MARIAE CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA LUBLIN – POLONIA

VOL. LXVII, NO. 2, 2013	SECTIO A	11 - 16
-------------------------	----------	---------

## I. R. KAYUMOV

## Estimates of $L_p$ norms for sums of positive functions

ABSTRACT. We present new inequalities of  $L_p$  norms for sums of positive functions. These inequalities are useful for investigation of convergence of simple partial fractions in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ .

Let  $p_n$  be a polynomial of degree n with zeros  $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n$ . The logarithmic derivative of  $p_n$ 

$$g_n(t) = \frac{p'_n(t)}{p_n(t)} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{t - z_k}$$

is called a simple partial fraction.

Let  $z_k = x_k + iy_k$ . V. Yu. Protasov [1] showed that if

(1) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|y_k|^{1/q}} < +\infty, \ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1,$$

then the series

$$g_{\infty}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t - z_k}$$

converges in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ .

In [1] the problem to find necessary and sufficient conditions for convergence of the series  $g_{\infty}$  in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$  was posed. Protasov proved that if  $g_{\infty}$ 

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 28B05.

Key words and phrases. Simple partial fractions,  $L_p$  estimates.

This work was supported by RFBR (11-01-00762).

converges in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$  and all  $z_k$  lie in the angle  $|z| \leq C|y|$  with a fixed C, then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  the following condition holds:

(2) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|y_k|^{1/q+\varepsilon}} < +\infty$$

Therefore, we see that the sufficient condition (1) is quite close to the necessary condition (2).

In the paper [2] we proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let p > 1. If

(3) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{p-1}}{|y_k|^{p-1}} < +\infty,$$

then the series

$$g_{\infty}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t - z_k}$$

converges in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ . Conversely, if  $g_{\infty}(t)$  converges in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ , the sequence  $|y_n|$  is increasing and  $|z_k| \leq C|y_k|$ , then the condition (3) holds.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following fact.

For any  $p \ge 2$  there exists a positive constant  $C_p$  depending only on p such that the following inequality holds

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{y_k}{(t-x_k)^2 + y_k^2} \right)^p dt \le C_p \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| \frac{k}{y_k} \right|^{p-1}.$$

It turns out that there exists a nontrivial generalization of this result for arbitrary positive functions from arbitrary measurable space.

To be precise, let X be a measurable space with positive measure  $\mu$ . Suppose that  $f_k \in L_1(X,\mu) \cap L_{\infty}(X,\mu)$  and  $f_k \ge 0, k = 1, 2, ..., n$ .

We set

$$L = \max_{1 \le k \le n} \int_X f_k d\mu,$$

$$M_k = ||f_k||_{\infty}.$$

The aim of the present paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** If  $p \in (1,2]$ , then there exists  $C_p$  such that

(4) 
$$\int_{X} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{k}\right)^{p} d\mu \leq C_{p}L \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{k=j}^{n} M_{k}\right)^{p-1}.$$

If  $p \in [2, +\infty)$ , then there exists  $C_p$  such that

(5) 
$$\int_{X} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{k}\right)^{p} d\mu \leq C_{p}L \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(kM_{k}\right)^{p-1}.$$

To prove Theorem 2 we need the following

Lemma. For any natural p the following inequality holds

(6) 
$$\int_{X} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{k}\right)^{p} d\mu \leq p! (p-1)! L \sum_{k=1}^{n} (kM_{k})^{p-1}.$$

**Proof.** We multiply out and then integrate term by term:

$$\int_{X} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{k}\right)^{p} d\mu$$

$$= \sum_{k_{1},k_{2},\dots,k_{p}} \int_{X} f_{k_{1}}f_{k_{2}}\cdots f_{k_{p}}d\mu$$

$$\leq p! \sum_{k_{1}\geq k_{2}\geq\dots\geq k_{p}} \int_{X} f_{k_{1}}f_{k_{2}}\cdots f_{k_{p}}d\mu$$

$$\leq p! \sum_{k_{1}\geq k_{2}\geq\dots\geq k_{p}} \int_{X} M_{k_{1}}M_{k_{2}}\cdots M_{k_{p-1}}f_{k_{p}}d\mu$$

$$= p! \sum_{k_{1}\geq k_{2}\geq\dots\geq k_{p-1}} M_{k_{1}}M_{k_{2}}\cdots M_{k_{p-1}}\sum_{k_{p}=1}^{k_{p-1}} \int_{X} f_{k_{p}}d\mu$$

$$\leq p!L \sum_{k_{1}\geq k_{2}\geq\dots\geq k_{p-1}} M_{k_{1}}M_{k_{2}}\cdots M_{k_{p-2}}k_{p-1}M_{k_{p-1}}.$$

In these inequalities the indexes  $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_p$  are varying from 1 to n. We note that for p = 1 the last sum is equal to  $n\pi$ . For p = 2 that sum is equal to  $2\pi \sum_{k=1}^{n} kM_k$ .

It is clear that to prove (6) it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{k_1=1}^n M_{k_1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{k_1} M_{k_2} \cdots \sum_{k_{p-2}=1}^{k_{p-3}} M_{k_{p-2}} \sum_{k_{p-1}=1}^{k_{p-2}} M_{k_{p-1}} k_{p-1} \le (p-1)! \sum_{k=1}^n (kM_k)^{p-1}.$$

This inequality was established in the paper [2]. Lemma is proved.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** We have

$$\int_{X} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{k}\right)^{p} d\mu = \int_{X} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{k}\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{k}\right)^{p-1} d\mu \le 2^{p-1}(I_{1}+I_{2}),$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_X \sum_{j=1}^n f_j \left(\sum_{k=1}^j f_k\right)^{p-1} d\mu,$$
$$I_2 = \int_X \sum_{j=1}^n f_j \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^n f_k\right)^{p-1} d\mu.$$

Here we have used the classical inequality  $(a + b)^{\alpha} \leq 2^{\alpha}(a^{\alpha} + b^{\alpha})$  which holds for all positive  $a, b, \alpha$ .

It is easy to see that

(7) 
$$I_2 \leq \int_X \sum_{j=1}^n f_j \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^n M_k\right)^{p-1} d\mu \leq L \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^n M_k\right)^{p-1}$$

Further we shall consider the cases  $p \leq 2$  and p > 2 separately. Case  $p \in (1, 2]$ .

To get an upper estimate for  $I_1$  we use the Hölder inequality

$$I_1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \int_X f_j^{\alpha} d\mu \right)^{1/\alpha} \left( \int_X \left( \sum_{k=1}^j f_k \right)^{(p-1)\beta} d\mu \right)^{1/\beta}$$

with parameters  $\alpha = 1/(2-p)$ ,  $\beta = 1/(p-1)$ . Therefore,

$$I_{1} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \int_{X} f_{j}^{\alpha} d\mu \right)^{2-p} \left( \int_{X} \sum_{k=1}^{j} f_{k} d\mu \right)^{p-1}$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \int_{X} f_{j}^{\alpha-1} f_{j} d\mu \right)^{2-p} \left( \int_{X} \sum_{k=1}^{j} f_{k} d\mu \right)^{p-1}$$
$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( M_{j}^{\alpha-1} L \right)^{2-p} (jL)^{p-1} = L \sum_{j=1}^{n} (jM_{j})^{p-1}$$

Applying Copson's inequality ([3], Theorem 344)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n + a_{n+1} + \dots)^{p-1} > (p-1)^{p-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (na_n)^{p-1}$$

we get

$$I_1 \le L \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{k=j}^n M_k \right)^{p-1}$$

This inequality together with (7) gives us desired estimate (4) which proves Theorem 2 in case when  $p \leq 2$ . Case  $p \in (2, +\infty)$ .

It follows from Copson's inequality ([3], Theorem 331)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n + a_{n+1} + \dots)^{p-1} \le (p-1)^{p-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (na_n)^{p-1}$$

that

(8) 
$$I_2 \le L(p-1)^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^n j^{p-1} M_j^{p-1}$$

To estimate  $I_1$  we again use the Hölder inequality

$$I_1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \int_X f_j^{\alpha} d\mu \right)^{1/\alpha} \left( \int_X \left( \sum_{k=1}^j f_k \right)^{(p-1)\beta} d\mu \right)^{1/\beta}$$

with parameters  $\alpha = m/(m+1-p)$ ,  $\beta = m/(p-1)$  where m is the integer part of p. Further, Lemma and Hölder's inequality yield the following estimates

$$I_{1} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} L^{1/\alpha} M_{j}^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha} \left( \int_{X} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{j} f_{k} \right)^{m} d\mu \right)^{(p-1)/m} \\ \leq L \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_{j}^{(p-1)/m} \left( \pi m! (m-1)! \sum_{k=1}^{j} (kM_{k})^{m-1} \right)^{(p-1)/m} \\ = LC(m,p) \sum_{j=1}^{n} (jM_{j})^{(p-1)/m} \left( \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^{j} (kM_{k})^{m-1} \right)^{(p-1)/m} \\ \leq LC(m,p) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} (jM_{j})^{\alpha_{1}(p-1)/m} \right)^{1/\alpha_{1}} \\ \times \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^{j} (kM_{k})^{m-1} \right)^{\beta_{1}(p-1)/m} \right)^{1/\beta_{1}}.$$

Setting  $\alpha_1 = m$ ,  $\beta_1 = m/(m-1)$ , we obtain

$$I_{1} \leq LC(m,p) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} (jM_{j})^{p-1} \right)^{1/m} \times \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^{j} (kM_{k})^{m-1} \right)^{(p-1)/(m-1)} \right)^{(m-1)/m}$$

I. R. Kayumov

Applying Hardy's inequality ([3], Theorem 326)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k}{k}\right)^s \le \left(\frac{s}{s-1}\right)^s \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^s$$

with s = (p-1)/(m-1) and  $a_k = (kM_k)^{m-1}$  we see that

$$I_1 \le LC(m,p) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (jM_j)^{p-1}\right)^{1/m} \left(s^s(s-1)^{-s} \sum_{j=1}^n (jM_j)^{p-1}\right)^{(m-1)/m}$$
$$= LC_1(m,p) \sum_{j=1}^n (jM_j)^{p-1}.$$

This estimate together with (8) proves (5). Theorem 2 is proved.

Let us remark that the inequalities (4) and (5) are sharp up to some absolute constant depending on p only. This can be easily seen by setting  $f_j \equiv 1$  on X. Other examples can be constructed as follows:  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and

$$f_k(t) = \frac{y_k}{(t - x_k)^2 + y_k^2}.$$

In case when  $|y_k|$  is increasing sequence, it was proved in the paper [2] that the sign  $\leq$  in the inequalities (4) and (5) can replaced by  $\geq$  with some other absolute constant  $c_p > 0$  depending on p only.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank the Organizers of the XVIth Conference on Analytic Functions and Related Topics (Chełm, Poland, 2011) for their warm hospitality.

## References

- Protasov, V. Yu., Approximation by simple partial fractions and the Hilbert transform, Izv. Math. 73 (2) (2009), 333–349.
- [2] Kayumov, I. R., Convergence of simple partial fractions in  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ , Sb. Math. **202** (10) (2011), 1493–1504.
- [3] Hardy, G. H., Littlewood, J. E., Pólya, G., *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1934.

Ilgiz Kayumov Kazan Federal University Russia e-mail: ikayumov@ksu.ru

Received September 18, 2011