

EDOARDO BALLICO

**On the real  $X$ -ranks of points of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$   
with respect to a real variety  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$**

ABSTRACT. Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be an integral and non-degenerate  $m$ -dimensional variety defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ . For any  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$  the real  $X$ -rank  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P)$  is the minimal cardinality of  $S \subset X(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $P \in \langle S \rangle$ . Here we extend to the real case an upper bound for the  $X$ -rank due to Landsberg and Teitler.

**1. Introduction.** Fix an integral and non-degenerate variety  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . For any  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  the  $X$ -rank  $r_X(P)$  of  $P$  is the minimal cardinality of a finite set  $S \subset X(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $P \in \langle S \rangle$ , where  $\langle \ \rangle$  denote the linear span. Hence  $r_X(P) = 1$  if and only if  $P \in X(\mathbb{C})$ . Since  $X$  is non-degenerate, the  $X$ -ranks are defined and  $r_X(P) \leq n + 1$  for all  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ . As a motivation for the study of  $X$ -ranks, see [1], [5], [7], [9], [11] and references therein. Now assume that  $X$  is defined over  $\mathbb{R}$  and that the embedding  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ , i.e. the scheme  $X$  is cut out inside  $\mathbb{P}^n$  by homogeneous polynomials with real coefficients. For any  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$  the real  $X$ -rank  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P)$  is the minimal cardinality of a finite set  $S \subset X(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $P \in \langle S \rangle$ , with the convention  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P) = +\infty$  if no such set exists. Notice that  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P) = +\infty$  if and only if  $P \notin \langle X(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ . Hence the function  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}$  is integer-valued if and only if the set  $X(\mathbb{R})$  spans  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Notice that if  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P) \neq +\infty$ , then  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P) \leq n + 1$ . Now assume that the smooth quasi-projective variety  $X_{reg}$  has real points, i.e. assume

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14N05, 14H50.

*Key words and phrases.* Ranks, real variety, structured rank.

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

$X_{reg}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ . Thus around  $P$  the set  $X(\mathbb{R})$  contains a smooth real algebraic manifold of dimension  $m$ . Since  $X$  is irreducible, we get that  $X_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$  is Zariski dense in  $X(\mathbb{C})$ . Since  $X(\mathbb{C})$  spans  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $\langle X(\mathbb{R}) \rangle = \mathbb{P}^n$  if  $X_{reg}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $X_{reg}(\mathbb{R}) = \emptyset$ , then  $X(\mathbb{R})$  is contained in a proper Zariski closed subset  $\text{Sing}(X)$  of  $X$ . Quite often  $\langle \text{Sing}(X) \rangle \neq \mathbb{P}^n$  even when  $\text{Sing}(X) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $X$  is a reduced curve, then  $X_{reg}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if the set  $X(\mathbb{R})$  is infinite.

We prove the following extension of [11], Proposition 5.1, under the assumption  $X_{reg}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be an integral and non-degenerate  $m$ -dimensional variety defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Set  $d := \deg(X)$ . Assume  $X_{reg}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ . Then:*

- (i)  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P) \leq n + 2 - m$  for all  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (ii) If  $d - m + 1 \equiv n \pmod{2}$ , then  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P) \leq n + 1 - m$  for all  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$ .

By [11], Proposition 5.1, we have  $r_X(P) \leq n + 1 - m$  for all  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n$  and this bound is in general sharp. Moreover, the most important case in which the upper bound  $r_X(P) = n + 1 - m$  is reached is defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ , it is smooth and with non-empty real locus: the rational normal curve of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  ([8] or [11], Theorem 4.1). Hence the bound in part (ii) of Theorem 1 cannot be improved without making additional assumptions on the variety  $X$ . See Example 1 for a case in which equality holds in part (i) of Theorem 1.

Our proof of Theorem 1 is just an adaptation of the proof of [11], Proposition 5.1.

The interested reader may find related topics in [3] (definition of the  $X$ - $K$ -rank  $r_{X,K}(P)$  for an arbitrary field  $K$  and some computations of it when  $X$  is a rational normal curve), and in [4], Proposition 3 (subsets of  $X(K)$  computing the integer  $r_{X,K}(P)$  when  $X$  is a rational normal curve).

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1 and an example.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be an integral curve of even degree  $d$  defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Assume  $X_{reg}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P) \leq 2$  for all  $P \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$ .*

**Proof.** If  $P \in X(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P) = 1$ . Fix any  $P \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R}) \setminus X(\mathbb{R})$ . Since we work in characteristic zero,  $X$  is not a strange curve ([10] Ex. IV.3.8). Thus there is a non-empty open subset  $E$  of  $X_{reg}(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $P \notin T_Q X$  for all  $Q \in E$ . Since  $X_{reg}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ , the set  $X_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$  is Zariski dense in  $X(\mathbb{C})$ . Hence there is  $Q \in E \cap X_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus the line  $D := \langle \{P, Q\} \rangle$  intersects transversally  $X$  at  $Q$ . Since  $d$  is even, the line  $D$  must contain another point of  $X(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P) \leq 2$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** The proof of the reduction of the case “ $m \geq 2$ ” to the case “ $m = 1$ ” is an easy adaption of the proof given by Landsberg and Teitler over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Only the case  $m = 1$  gives a small surprise.

(a) Here we assume  $m = 1$ . If  $d - n$  is odd, then there is nothing to prove, because  $X_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$  spans  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Hence we may assume  $d \equiv n \pmod{2}$ .

We use induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 2$ , then apply Lemma 1. Now assume  $n \geq 3$ . Fix a general  $Q \in X(\mathbb{C})$ . Hence  $X$  is smooth at  $Q$ . Thus the linear projection  $\ell_Q : \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \{Q\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  induces a morphism  $v_Q : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  such that  $\deg(v_Q) \cdot \deg(v_Q(X)) = d - 1$ . In characteristic zero a general secant line of  $X$  is not a multiseccant line. Hence for a general  $Q$  we have  $\deg(v_Q) = 1$ , i.e., the curve  $v_Q(X)$  is an integral and non-degenerate subcurve of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  with degree  $d - 1$ . Since  $X_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$  is Zariski dense in  $X_{reg}(\mathbb{C})$ , this is true also for almost all (except at most finitely many) points  $Q \in X_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$ . Fix  $Q \in X_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\deg(v_Q) = 1$ . Thus  $T := v_Q(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  is an integral and non-degenerate curve defined over  $\mathbb{R}$  and such that  $T_{reg}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $d - 1 \equiv n - 1 \pmod{2}$ , the inductive assumption gives  $r_{T,\mathbb{R}}(v_Q(P)) \leq n - 1$ . This is not sufficient to conclude that  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P) \leq n$ , because  $v_P(X)(\mathbb{R})$  may be larger than  $v_P(X(\mathbb{R}))$ . However, we may adapt the proof of Lemma 1 in the following way. Fix a general  $(Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-2}) \in X(\mathbb{C})^{(n-2)}$ . Hence  $X$  is smooth at each  $Q_i$ . Set  $U := \langle Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-2} \rangle$ . Since the points  $Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-2}$  are general and  $X$  is non-degenerate,  $\dim(U) = n - 3$ . Since we are in characteristic zero, a general hyperplane section of  $X$  is in linearly general position ([2], p. 109). Hence  $X \cap U = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-2}\}$  (scheme-theoretic intersection). Since  $X(\mathbb{R})$  is Zariski dense in  $X(\mathbb{C})$ , we may find  $Q_i \in X(\mathbb{R})$  with the same property. Let  $\ell_U : \mathbb{P}^n \setminus U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  denote the linear projection from  $U$ . Since  $X \cap U = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-2}\}$  (scheme-theoretically) and  $Q_i \in X_{reg}$  for all  $i$ , the map  $\ell_U|_{(X \setminus X \cap U)}$  induces a birational morphism  $v_U : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  such that  $\deg(v_U(X)) = d - n + 2$  is even. The morphism  $v_U$  is defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ . For a general  $Q_{n-1} \in X(\mathbb{R})$  the line  $\langle \{v_U(P), v_U(Q_{n-1})\} \rangle$  intersects transversally  $v_U(X)$  at  $v_U(Q_{n-1})$ . Since  $\deg(v_U(X))$  is even, this line intersects  $v_U(X)$  at another real point,  $P'$ . Since  $v_U$  induces a real isomorphism between the normalizations of  $X$  and of  $v_U(X)$ , the set  $v_U(X)(\mathbb{R}) \setminus v_U(X(\mathbb{R}) \setminus U)$  is finite. Thus for a general  $Q_{n-1}$  we may assume that  $P'$  is in the image of a real point of  $X \setminus U$ . Hence  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P) \leq n$ , concluding the proof in the case  $m = 1$ .

(b) Here we assume  $m \geq 2$  and that Theorem 1 is true for varieties of dimension  $m - 1$ . Assume the existence of  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P) \geq n + 2 - m$  (case  $d - m + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ ), or  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P) \geq n + 1 - m$  (case  $d - m + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ). If  $P \in X(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P) = 1$ . Hence we may assume  $P \notin X(\mathbb{R})$ . Since  $X(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R}) \cap X(\mathbb{C})$ , we have  $P \notin X(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $A_P(\mathbb{C})$  denote the set of all hyperplanes  $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$  containing  $P$ . The set  $A_P$  is an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional complex projective space. Since  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$ , the variety  $A_P(\mathbb{C})$  has a real structure such that the set  $A_P(\mathbb{R})$  of its real points parametrizes the set of all real hyperplanes containing  $P$ . Since  $A_P(\mathbb{R})$  is Zariski dense in  $A_P(\mathbb{C})$ , every non-empty Zariski open subset of  $A_P(\mathbb{C})$  intersects  $A_P(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence any non-empty open subset of  $A_P(\mathbb{C})$  defined over  $\mathbb{R}$  has a real point. Moreover, for a general  $Q \in X(\mathbb{C})$  there is  $H \in A_P(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $Q \in H$ . Since  $X_{reg}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ , we get the existence

of  $H \in A_P(\mathbb{R})$  containing a sufficiently general point of  $X_{reg}(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence we may find a sufficiently general  $H \in A_P(\mathbb{R})$  with the additional condition  $X_{reg}(\mathbb{R}) \cap H \neq \emptyset$ . Bertini's theorem says that if  $H$  is general, then  $X \cap H$  is an integral  $(m-1)$ -dimensional variety and  $(X \cap H)_{reg} = X_{reg} \cap H$ . Since  $H \in A_P(\mathbb{R})$ , the variety  $X \cap H$  is defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Notice that  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P) \leq r_{X \cap H,\mathbb{R}}(P)$ . Since  $(X \cap H)_{reg}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$  and  $d-n+m \equiv d-(n-1)+(m-1) \pmod{2}$ , we may apply the inductive assumption to the variety  $X \cap H$ .  $\square$

The next example shows that the inequality in part (i) of Theorem 1 may be an equality. Hence in part (ii) of Theorem 1 the parity condition cannot be dropped without making other assumptions on  $X$ .

**Example 1.** Fix positive integers  $k, c$  such that  $k \leq 2c$  and  $(2c+1, k) = 1$ . Take homogeneous coordinates  $x, y, z$  of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and set  $X := \{z^{2c+1-k}y^k = x^{2c+1}\}$  and  $P := (1; 0; 0)$ . Hence  $P \notin X$ . Thus  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P) \geq 2$ . The linear projection from  $P$  sends any  $(x_0; y_0; z_0) \neq (1; 0; 0)$ , onto the point  $(y_0; z_0) \in \mathbb{P}^1$ . Since  $2c+1$  is odd, the equation  $z_0^{2c+1-k}y_0^k = t^{2c+1}$  has a unique real root. Hence  $r_{X,\mathbb{R}}(P) \neq 2$ . If  $k = c = 1$ , then the curve  $X$  is an integral plane cubic with an ordinary cusp. Taking cones we get examples with arbitrary  $m$  and  $n = m + 1$ .

Fix a field  $K$  and an integral and non-degenerate subvariety  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ . Assume that both  $X$  and the embedding  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  are defined over  $K$ . For each  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(K)$  the  $X$ - $K$ -rank  $r_{X,K}(P)$  of  $P$  is the minimal cardinality of a set  $S \subset X(K)$  such that  $P \in \langle S \rangle$  or  $+\infty$  if no such  $S$  exists, i.e. if  $P \notin \langle X(K) \rangle$  ([3]). With this definition it is natural to analyze our proofs for an arbitrary field  $K$ .

**Remark 1.** Our proofs work verbatim if instead of  $\mathbb{R}$  we take a real closed field  $K$  in the sense of [6], §1.2, and instead of  $\mathbb{C}$  the algebraic closure  $\overline{K}$  of  $K$ . We recall that a field  $K$  is real closed if and only if  $-1$  is not a sum of squares of elements of  $K$ , each odd degree  $f \in K[t]$  has a root in  $K$  and for each  $a \in K$ , either  $a$  or  $-a$  has a square root in  $K$ . If  $K$  is a real closed field, then  $\overline{K} \cong K[t]/(t^2+1)$  ([6], Theorem 1.2.2).

For curves our proofs give verbatim the following result.

**Proposition 1.** *Fix a field  $K$  such that  $\text{char}(K) = 0$  and an integral and non-degenerate curve  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ . Assume that both  $X$  and the embedding  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  are defined over  $K$  and that  $X(K)$  is infinite. Set  $d := \deg(X)$ . Assume that every  $f \in K[t]$  of degree  $d-n+1$  has a root in  $K$ , i.e. assume the non-existence of a field extension  $K \subset L$  such that  $\deg(L/K) = d-n+1$ . Then  $r_{X,K}(P) \leq n$  for all  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(K)$ .*

The ‘‘i.e.’’ part in Proposition 1 is true because every finite and separable extension of fields has a primitive element ([12], Theorem VII.5.4 on p. 156).

A small part of the inductive procedure in the proof of Theorem 1 works verbatim for an arbitrary field  $K$  such that  $\text{char}(K) = 0$ . Indeed, for any  $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(K)$  the set of  $A_P$  all hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{P}^n(\overline{K})$  containing  $P$  is defined over  $K$  and  $A_P(K)$  is dense in  $A_P(\overline{K})$ . However, the curve section  $C$  inductively obtained from  $X$  may have  $C(K)$  finite. For instance, take as  $K$  a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and as  $X$  a smooth surface birational to  $\mathbb{P}^2$  over  $K$ . The set  $X(K)$  is Zariski dense in  $X(\mathbb{C})$ . Quite often,  $X$  has sectional genus at least 2. A theorem of Faltings (formerly Mordell's conjecture) says that  $C(K)$  is finite for any integral curve  $C$  defined over  $K$  whose normalization has genus at least 2. We do not know a single field  $K$  (except the real closed ones and the algebraically closed ones) in which many curve sections  $C$  of a large class of varieties  $X$  have  $C(K)$  infinite.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Albera, L., Chevalier, P., Comon, P. and Ferreol, A., *On the virtual array concept for higher order array processing*, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. **53**(4) (2005), 1254–1271.
- [2] Arbarello, E., Cornalba, M., Griffiths, P. and Harris, J., *Geometry of Algebraic Curves. I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [3] Ballico, E., *Ranks of subvarieties of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  over non-algebraically closed fields*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **61**(1) (2010), 7–10.
- [4] Ballico, E., *Subsets of the variety  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  computing the  $X$ -rank of a point of  $\mathbb{P}^n$* , preprint.
- [5] Bernardi, A., Gimigliano, A. and Idà, M., *Computing symmetric rank for symmetric tensors*, J. Symbolic Comput. **46** (2011), 34–55.
- [6] Bochnak, J., Coste, M. and Roy, F.-M., *Real Algebraic Geometry*, Translated from the 1987 French original. Revised by the authors. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], 36, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [7] Buczyński, J., Landsberg, J. M., *Ranks of tensors and a generalization of secant varieties*, arXiv:0909.4262v1 [math.AG].
- [8] Comas, G., Seiguer, M., *On the rank of a binary form*, arXiv:math.AG/0112311.
- [9] Comon, P., Golub, G., Lim, L.-H. and Mourrain, B., *Symmetric tensors and symmetric tensor rank*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **30**(3) (2008), 1254–1279.
- [10] Hartshorne, R., *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [11] Landsberg, J. M., Teitler, Z., *On the ranks and border ranks of symmetric tensors*, Found. Comput. Math. **10** (2010), 339–366.
- [12] Lang, S., *Algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., 1965.

E. Ballico  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of Trento  
 38123 Povo (TN)  
 Italy  
 e-mail: ballico@science.unitn.it

Received January 8, 2010