

MONIKA BUDZYŃSKA, ALEKSANDRA GRZESIK
and MARIOLA KOT

The generalized Day norm Part I. Properties

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce a modification of the Day norm in $c_0(\Gamma)$ and investigate properties of this norm.

1. Introduction. In 1955, M. M. Day introduced a new norm $\|\cdot\|$ in $c_0(\Gamma)$ to show that the Banach space $c_0(\Gamma)$ with the max-norm can be equivalently renormed to strictly convex space ([5]). In 1969, J. Rainwater showed that $(c_0(\Gamma), \|\cdot\|)$ is locally uniformly convex ([18]). Finally in 1978, M. A. Smith proved that this space is not uniformly convex in every direction ([19]). It is important to note that using this norm, one can construct Banach spaces with the claimed properties (see for example [15], [19] and [20]). In our paper we investigate properties of the modified Day norm $\|\cdot\|_{\beta,p}$ in c_0 and among others we extend the Day and Rainwater results.

2. Basic notions and facts. Throughout this paper all Banach spaces are infinite dimensional and real.

First we recall a few notions and facts from the geometry of Banach spaces. We begin this section with the following well-known definitions.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46G20, 52A05.

Key words and phrases. Asymptotic normal structure, Day norm, local uniform convexity, normal structure, Opial property, strict convexity, uniform convexity in every direction.

Definition 2.1 (see for example [9], [10], [12]). A Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is strictly convex if $\|\frac{x+y}{2}\| < 1$, whenever $x, y \in X$, $\|x\| \leq 1$, $\|y\| \leq 1$ and $x \neq y$.

Definition 2.2 ([8]). A Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is said to be uniformly convex in every direction if for every nonzero element z of X and every $0 < \epsilon \leq 2$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|\frac{x+y}{2}\| \leq 1 - \delta$ whenever $\|x\| \leq 1$, $\|y\| \leq 1$, $x \neq y$, $x - y = \alpha z$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\|x - y\| \geq \epsilon$.

Definition 2.3 ([14], see also [7]). We say that a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is locally uniformly convex (LUR) if for each $x \in X$ every sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ with $\lim_n \|x_n\| = \|x\|$ and $\lim_n \|x + x_n\| = 2\|x\|$ tends strongly to x .

Remark 2.4. Each locally uniformly convex Banach space and each uniformly convex in every direction Banach space is strictly convex (see for example [19]).

Let Γ be an infinite set and let $c_0(\Gamma)$ denote the Banach space (with the max-norm) of all real-valued functions $u = \{u^i\}_{i \in \Gamma}$ on Γ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ the set $\{i \in \Gamma : |u^i| \geq \epsilon\}$ is finite. We denote the support of $u \in c_0(\Gamma)$ by $N(u)$. Recall that for $1 < p < \infty$ the Banach space $l^p(\Gamma)$ consists of all $u \in c_0(\Gamma)$ such that $\sum_{i \in N(u)} |u^i|^p < \infty$ (we set $\sum_{i \in N(u)} |u^i|^p = 0$ if $N(u) = \emptyset$) and then

$$\|u\|_p = \left(\sum_{i \in N(u)} |u^i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for $u \in l^p(\Gamma)$ (see for example [12]).

Now we recall a definition of the Day norm $\|\!\|\!\| \cdot \|\!\|\!\|$ in $c_0(\Gamma)$ (see [5]). If $u = \{u^i\}_{i \in \Gamma} \in c_0(\Gamma) \setminus \{0\}$, then we enumerate the support $N(u)$ of u as $\{\tau(j, u)\}_{j \in J(u)}$ (for a detailed definition of $\tau(\cdot, u)$ see Remark 2.5) in such a way that $|u^{\tau(j, u)}| \geq |u^{\tau(j+1, u)}|$. Next we define $D(u) = \{D^i(u)\}_{i \in \Gamma} \in l^2(\Gamma)$ by

$$D^i(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{u^{\tau(j, u)}}{2^j}, & \text{if } i = \tau(j, u) \text{ for some } j \in J(u) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and set $\|\!\|\!\|u\|\!\|\!\| = \|D(u)\|_2$. For $0 \in c_0(\Gamma)$ we set $D^i(0) = 0$ for each $i \in \Gamma$ and $D(0) = \{D^i(0)\}_i = 0 \in l^2(\Gamma)$. So $\|\!\|\!\|0\|\!\|\!\| = \|D(0)\|_2 = 0$. It is easy to observe that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{c_0(\Gamma)} \leq \|\!\|\!\|u\|\!\|\!\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \|u\|_{c_0(\Gamma)}$$

for each $u \in c_0(\Gamma)$, where $\|\cdot\|_{c_0(\Gamma)}$ is the standard max-norm in $c_0(\Gamma)$.

Remark 2.5. Throughout this paper we will use the following notation. Let $t = \{t^i\}_{i \in \Gamma} \in c_0(\Gamma)$, where the set Γ is infinite. Then the $\{\tau(j, t)\}_j$ is defined as follows:

- (1) if the support $N(t)$ of t is infinite, then $N(t)$ is enumerated as $\{\tau(j, t)\}_j$ in such a way that $|t^{\tau(j, t)}| \geq |t^{\tau(j+1, t)}|$ for $j \in J(t) = \mathbb{N}$,
- (2) if $N(t) = \{t^{\tilde{i}}\}$ is a singleton, then we set $J(t) = \{1\}$, $\tau(1, t) = \tilde{i}$ and extend $\tau(\cdot, t)$ onto \mathbb{N} so that $\tau(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Gamma$ is an injection,
- (3) if the support $N(t)$ of t is finite and consists of $k(t) \geq 2$ elements, then $N(t)$ is enumerated as $\{\tau(j, t) : j \in J(t) = \{1, \dots, k(t)\}\}$ in such a way that $|t^{\tau(j, t)}| \geq |t^{\tau(j+1, t)}|$ for $1 \leq j \leq k(t) - 1$ and we extend $\tau(\cdot, t)$ onto \mathbb{N} so that $\tau(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Gamma$ is an injection,
- (4) if $t = 0$, then $J(t) = \emptyset$ and $\tau(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Gamma$ is an arbitrarily chosen injection.

The following result is well known.

Theorem 2.6 ([4], see also [1] and [11]). *For space $(l^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ the following inequalities between the norms of two arbitrary x and y of the space are valid (here q is the conjugate index $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$):*

- (1) $\|x + y\|_p^p + \|x - y\|_p^p \leq 2^{p-1} (\|x\|_p^p + \|y\|_p^p)$ for $2 \leq p < \infty$,
- (2) $\|x + y\|_p^q + \|x - y\|_p^q \leq 2 (\|x\|_p^p + \|y\|_p^p)^{q-1}$ for $1 < p \leq 2$.

We will also use some elementary inequalities ([5] and see also [18]). We state them below. These inequalities will play a crucial role in the proofs of our theorems.

Lemma 2.7 ([5] and [18]). *Assume that*

- (1) $s = \{s^i\}_i$ is a positive and non-increasing sequence,
- (2) $t = \{t^i\}_i \in c_0 \setminus \{0\}$,
- (3) $t^i \geq 0$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (4) $\emptyset \neq I \subset \mathbb{N}$,
- (5) functions $f, g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ are injective.

Then

$$\sum_{i \in I} s^{f(i)} \cdot t^{g(i)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s^j \cdot t^{\tau(j, t)}.$$

Corollary 2.8 ([5] and [18]). *Let Γ be an infinite set. Assume that*

- (1) $s = \{s^i\}_i$ is a positive and non-increasing sequence,
- (2) $t = \{t^i\}_i \in c_0(\Gamma) \setminus \{0\}$,
- (3) $t^i \geq 0$ for each $i \in \Gamma$,
- (4) a function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is injective,
- (5) a function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Gamma$ is injective.

Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s^{f(j)} \cdot t^{g(j)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s^j \cdot t^{\tau(j, t)}.$$

Lemma 2.9 ([5] and [18]). *If $\{s^j\}_j$ and $\{t^j\}_j$ are nonnegative and non-increasing sequences and if a function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is injective, then*

- (1) *for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ either $g|_{\{1, \dots, m\}}$ permutes $\{1, \dots, m\}$ onto itself and*

$$\sum_{j=1}^m s^j t^j - \sum_{j=1}^m s^j t^{g(j)} \geq 0$$

or

$$(2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m s^j t^j - \sum_{j=1}^m s^j t^{g(j)} \geq (s^m - s^{m+1})(t^m - t^{m+1}) \geq 0,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s^j t^j \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s^j t^{g(j)}.$$

As a consequence of Corollary 2.8 and Lemma 2.9 we get

Lemma 2.10 ([18]). *Assume that*

- (1) $s = \{s^i\}_i$ is a positive and strictly decreasing to 0,
- (2) $t = \{t^i\}_i \in c_0 \setminus \{0\}$,
- (3) $t^i \geq 0$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (4) $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $t^{\tau(m,t)} > t^{\tau(m+1,t)}$,
- (5) if $t^{\tau(1,t)} > t^{\tau(m,t)}$, then

$$\omega := \min \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m s^j t^{\tau(j,t)} - \sum_{j=1}^m s^j t^{\sigma(j)} : \sigma \text{ maps } \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ onto } \{\tau(1,t), \dots, \tau(m,t)\} \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^m s^j t^{\sigma(j)} < \sum_{j=1}^m s^j \cdot t^{\tau(j,t)} \right\} > 0$$

$$\text{and } \delta := \min \{ (s^m - s^{m+1})(t^{\tau(m,t)} - t^{\tau(m+1,t)}), \omega \} > 0,$$

- (6) if $t^{\tau(1,t)} = t^{\tau(m,t)}$, then $\delta := (s^m - s^{m+1})(t^{\tau(m,t)} - t^{\tau(m+1,t)}) > 0$,
- (7) $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is injective,
- (8) $\sum_{j=1}^m s^j t^{\tau(j,t)} - \sum_{j=1}^m s^j t^{\varphi(j)} < \delta$.

Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^m s^j t^{\tau(j,t)} = \sum_{j=1}^m s^j t^{\varphi(j)},$$

$\varphi|_{\{1, \dots, m\}}$ maps $\{1, \dots, m\}$ onto $\{\tau(1,t), \dots, \tau(m,t)\}$ and $t^{\tau(j,t)} = t^{\varphi(j)}$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

3. A generalization of the Day norm. In this section we introduce our modification of the Day norm $\|\cdot\|$ in $c_0(\Gamma)$. We replace $l^2(\Gamma)$ with $l^p(\Gamma)$. So fix $1 < p < \infty$ and choose a strictly decreasing positive sequence $\beta = \{\beta_j\}_j$ satisfying the following two conditions

- the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j^p$ is convergent,
- there exists a constant $L > 1$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \beta_j^p \leq L \beta_{n+1}^p.$$

If $u = \{u^i\}_{i \in \Gamma} \in c_0(\Gamma) \setminus \{0\}$, then define $D_{\beta,p}(u) = \{D_{\beta,p}^i(u)\}_{i \in \Gamma} \in l^p(\Gamma)$ by

$$D_{\beta,p}^i(u) = \begin{cases} \beta_j u^{\tau(j,u)}, & \text{if } i = \tau(j, u) \text{ for some } j \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and set $\|u\|_{\beta,p} = \|D_{\beta,p}(u)\|_p$. For $0 \in c_0$ we set $D_{\beta,p}^i(0) = 0$ for each $i \in \Gamma$ and $D_{\beta,p}(0) = \{D_{\beta,p}^i(0)\}_{i \in \Gamma} = 0 \in l^p(\Gamma)$ and therefore $\|0\|_{\beta,p} = \|D(0)\|_{\beta,p} = 0$.

Theorem 3.1. *For each $1 < p < \infty$, $\|\cdot\|_{\beta,p}$ is a norm in $c_0(\Gamma)$ and*

$$\beta_1 \|u\|_{c_0(\Gamma)} \leq \|u\|_{\beta,p} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{c_0(\Gamma)}$$

for each $u \in c_0(\Gamma)$, where $\|\cdot\|_{c_0(\Gamma)}$ is the standard norm in $c_0(\Gamma)$.

Proof. It is obvious that

$$\|\alpha u\|_{\beta,p} = |\alpha| \|u\|_{\beta,p}$$

for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and each $u \in c_0(\Gamma)$. Next by Corollary 2.8 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u + v\|_{\beta,p} &= \|D_{\beta,p}(u + v)\|_p = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j (u + v)^{\tau(j,u+v)}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u^{\tau(j,u+v)}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j v^{\tau(j,u+v)}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u^{\tau(j,u)}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j v^{\tau(j,v)}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|u\|_{\beta,p} + \|v\|_{\beta,p} \end{aligned}$$

for $u = \{u^i\}_i$ and $v = \{v^i\}_i$ in $c_0(\Gamma)$.

Finally, it is easy to observe that

$$\beta_1 \|u\|_{c_0(\Gamma)} \leq \|u\|_{\beta,p} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{c_0(\Gamma)}$$

for each $u \in c_0(\Gamma)$. □

4. The modified Day norm is LUR. Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of this paper. This theorem generalizes the Rainwater result ([18]).

Theorem 4.1. *The Banach space $(c_0(\Gamma), \|\cdot\|_{\beta,p})$ is LUR.*

Proof. The proof is based on the Rainwater concept ([18]).

We have to show that if $u \in c_0(\Gamma)$, $u_n \in c_0(\Gamma)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $\lim_n \|u_n\|_{\beta,p} = \|u\|_{\beta,p}$ and $\lim_n \|u + u_n\|_{\beta,p} = 2\|u\|_{\beta,p}$, then $\lim_n u_n = u$. Observe that without loss of generality we can assume that

- (1) $\Gamma = \mathbb{N}$ and therefore $c_0(\Gamma) = c_0(\mathbb{N}) = c_0$,
- (2) $\|u\|_{\beta,p} = \lim_n \|u_n\|_{\beta,p} = 1$,
- (3) for each $n, i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $u_n^i \neq 0$ and $u^i + u_n^i \neq 0$, i.e. the supports $N(u_n)$ and $N(u + u_n)$ are equal to \mathbb{N} (in the other case we can replace the sequence $\{u_n\}_n$ by suitably chosen $\{\tilde{u}_n\}_n$ such that $\lim_n (u_n - \tilde{u}_n) = 0$).

Suppose that the sequence $\{u - u_n\}_n$ is not convergent to 0. Then, taking a subsequence if necessary, we see that there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$(i) \quad \|u\|_{c_0} \geq \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \|u - u_n\|_{c_0} \geq \eta$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$(ii) \quad 0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{3(3L)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$$

and m be the largest integer which satisfies

$$|u^{\tau(m,u)}| \geq \lambda\eta.$$

Then we have

$$(iii) \quad \lambda\eta < \frac{1}{3}$$

$$(iv) \quad |u^{\tau(j,u)}| < \lambda\eta$$

for each $j > m$.

Now, by the Clarkson inequalities (see Theorem 2.6) for $2 \leq p < \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (v) \quad & 2^{p-1} \left(\|u\|_{\beta,p}^p + \|u_n\|_{\beta,p}^p \right) - \|u + u_n\|_{\beta,p}^p \\ &= 2^{p-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u^{\tau(j,u)}|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u_n^{\tau(j,u_n)}|^p \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j (u + u_n)^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p \\ &\geq 2^{p-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u_n^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j (u + u_n)^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j (u - u_n)^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j (u^{\tau(j,u+u_n)} - u_n^{\tau(j,u+u_n)})|^p \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and for $1 < p \leq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(vi)} \quad & 2 \left(\|u\|_{\beta,p}^p + \|u_n\|_{\beta,p}^p \right)^{q-1} - \|u + u_n\|_{\beta,p}^q \\
&= 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u^{\tau(j,u)}|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u_n^{\tau(j,u_n)}|^p \right)^{q-1} - \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j (u + u_n)^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
&\geq 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u_n^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p \right)^{q-1} \\
&\quad - \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j (u + u_n)^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
&\geq \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j (u - u_n)^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
&= \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j (u^{\tau(j,u+u_n)} - u_n^{\tau(j,u+u_n)})|^p \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

(here q is the conjugate index $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$). Since

$$\lim_n \left[2^{p-1} \left(\|u\|_{\beta,p}^p + \|u_n\|_{\beta,p}^p \right) - \|u + u_n\|_{\beta,p}^p \right] = 0$$

for $p \geq 2$ and

$$\lim_n \left[2 \left(\|u\|_{\beta,p}^p + \|u_n\|_{\beta,p}^p \right)^{q-1} - \|u + u_n\|_{\beta,p}^q \right] = 0$$

for $1 < p \leq 2$, we get

$$\text{(vii)} \quad \lim_n \left[u^{\tau(j,u+u_n)} - u_n^{\tau(j,u+u_n)} \right] = 0$$

for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ in both cases. Next we observe that (see (v) and (vi))

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2^{p-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u^{\tau(j,u)}|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u_n^{\tau(j,u_n)}|^p \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j (u + u_n)^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p \\
&\geq 2^{p-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u_n^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j (u + u_n)^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

for $p \geq 2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u^{\tau(j,u)}|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u_n^{\tau(j,u_n)}|^p \right)^{q-1} - \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j (u + u_n)^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \\ & \geq 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u_n^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p \right)^{q-1} \\ & \quad - \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j (u + u_n)^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $1 < p \leq 2$. Consequently, since

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_n \left[2^{p-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u^{\tau(j,u)}|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u_n^{\tau(j,u_n)}|^p \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j (u + u_n)^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_n \left[2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u^{\tau(j,u)}|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u_n^{\tau(j,u_n)}|^p \right)^{q-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j (u + u_n)^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $p \geq 2$ and for $1 < p \leq 2$, respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_n \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u^{\tau(j,u)}|^p - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(|\beta_j u_n^{\tau(j,u_n)}|^p - |\beta_j u_n^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p \right) \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_n \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u^{\tau(j,u)}|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u_n^{\tau(j,u_n)}|^p \right)^{q-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\beta_j u_n^{\tau(j,u+u_n)}|^p \right)^{q-1} \right] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Moreover, by Corollary 2.8

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \beta_j u^{\tau(j,u)} \right|^p \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \beta_j u^{\tau(j,u+u_n)} \right|^p$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \beta_j u_n^{\tau(j,u_n)} \right|^p \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \beta_j u_n^{\tau(j,u+u_n)} \right|^p$$

and therefore

$$(viii) \quad \lim_n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \beta_j u^{\tau(j,u)} \right|^p - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \beta_j u^{\tau(j,u+u_n)} \right|^p \right) = 0.$$

Here we can apply Lemma 2.10 with m as above and with $t = \{|u^{\tau(j,u)}|^p\}_j$ and $s = \{\beta_j^p\}_j$ and get $\delta > 0$ such that if

$$\sum_{j=1}^m s^j t^j - \sum_{j=1}^m s^j t^{\varphi(j)} < \delta,$$

then

$$\sum_{j=1}^m s^j t^{\tau(j,t)} = \sum_{j=1}^m s^j t^{\varphi(j)},$$

where $\varphi|_{\{1,\dots,m\}}$ maps $\{1, \dots, m\}$ onto $\{\tau(1, t), \dots, \tau(m, t)\}$ and $t^{\tau(j,t)} = t^{\varphi(j)}$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. By

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \left| \beta_j u_n^{\tau(j,u_n)} \right|^p \geq \sum_{j=1}^k \left| \beta_j u_n^{\tau(j,u+u_n)} \right|^p$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Lemma 2.9) and by (viii) we have

$$\lim_n \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \left| \beta_j u^{\tau(j,u)} \right|^p - \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \beta_j u^{\tau(j,u+u_n)} \right|^p \right) = 0.$$

Hence there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \left| \beta_j u^{\tau(j,u)} \right|^p - \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \beta_j u^{\tau(j,u+u_n)} \right|^p < \delta$$

for each $n \geq n_0$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \beta_j u^{\tau(j,u)} \right|^p &= \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \beta_j u^{\tau(j,u+u_n)} \right|^p, \\ \{\tau(1, u), \dots, \tau(m, u)\} &= \{\tau(1, u + u_n), \dots, \tau(m, u + u_n)\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| u^{\tau(j,u)} \right| = \left| u^{\tau(j,u+u_n)} \right|$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and each $n \geq n_0$.

Taking once more a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ if necessary, we can assume that $\tau(j, u + u_n) = \tilde{\tau}(j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and each $n \geq n_0$. Therefore, without loss of generality, we can also assume that

$$\tau(j, u) = \tau(j, u + u_n) = \tilde{\tau}(j)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and each $n \geq n_0$.

Now by (vii) and by $\lim_n \|u_n\|_{\beta,p} = \|u\|_{\beta,p} = 1$ there exists $n_1 \geq n_0$ such that

$$(ix) \quad \left| u^{\tau(j,u)} - u_n^{\tau(j,u)} \right| < \eta$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $n \geq n_1$,

$$(x) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j^p \left(\left| u^{\tau(j,u)} \right|^p - \left| u_n^{\tau(j,u)} \right|^p \right) < \frac{\beta_{m+1}^p \eta^p}{3 \cdot 3^p}$$

for $n \geq n_1$ and

$$(xi) \quad \|u_n\|_{\beta,p}^p - \|u\|_{\beta,p}^p < \frac{\beta_{m+1}^p \eta^p}{3 \cdot 3^p}$$

for $n \geq n_1$. Next by (i) for each $n \geq n_1$ we choose $j_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| u^{\tau(j_n, u - u_n)} - u_n^{\tau(j_n, u - u_n)} \right| = \left| (u - u_n)^{\tau(j_n, u - u_n)} \right| = \|u - u_n\|_{c_0} \geq \eta.$$

Hence by (ix) for each $n \geq n_1$ we have

$$\tau(j_n, u - u_n) \notin \{\tau(1, u), \dots, \tau(m, u)\} = \{\tau(1, u_n), \dots, \tau(m, u_n)\}$$

and therefore by Corollary 2.8 we have

$$(xii) \quad \|u_n\|_{\beta,p}^p = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j^p \left| u_n^{\tau(j, u_n)} \right|^p \geq \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j^p \left| u_n^{\tau(j, u)} \right|^p + \beta_{m+1}^p \left| u_n^{\tau(j_n, u - u_n)} \right|^p.$$

By (ii) and (iv) we also have

$$(xiii) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\beta,p}^p &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j^p \left| u^{\tau(j, u)} \right|^p < \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j^p \left| u^{\tau(j, u)} \right|^p + \lambda^p \eta^p \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \beta_j^p \\ &< \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j^p \left| u^{\tau(j, u)} \right|^p + \frac{\beta_{m+1}^p \eta^p}{3 \cdot 3^p}. \end{aligned}$$

The inequalities (iii), (iv) and (x)–(xiii) lead to the following contradiction

$$2 \frac{\beta_{m+1}^p \eta^p}{3^p} < \frac{2^p \beta_{m+1}^p \eta^p}{3^p} = \beta_{m+1}^p \left| \eta - \frac{\eta}{3} \right|^p$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \beta_{m+1}^p \left| \left| u_n^{\tau(j_n, u-u_n)} - u^{\tau(j_n, u-u_n)} \right| - \left| u^{\tau(j_n, u-u_n)} \right| \right|^p \\
&\leq \beta_{m+1}^p \left| u_n^{\tau(j_n, u-u_n)} \right|^p \leq \| \| u_n \| \|_{\beta, p}^p - \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j^p \left| u_n^{\tau(j, u)} \right|^p \\
&= \left(\| \| u_n \| \|_{\beta, p}^p - \| \| u \| \|_{\beta, p}^p \right) + \| \| u \| \|_{\beta, p}^p - \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j^p \left| u_n^{\tau(j, u)} \right|^p \\
&< \frac{\beta_{m+1}^p \eta^p}{3 \cdot 3^p} + \left(\| \| u \| \|_{\beta, p}^p - \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j^p \left| u^{\tau(j, u)} \right|^p \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j^p \left| u^{\tau(j, u)} \right|^p - \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j^p \left| u_n^{\tau(j, u)} \right|^p \right) \\
&< \frac{\beta_{m+1}^p \eta^p}{3 \cdot 3^p} + \frac{\beta_{m+1}^p \eta^p}{3 \cdot 3^p} + \frac{\beta_{m+1}^p \eta^p}{3 \cdot 3^p} = \frac{\beta_{m+1}^p \eta^p}{3^p}
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Corollary 4.2. *The Banach space $(c_0(\Gamma), \| \cdot \|_{\beta, p})$ is strictly convex.*

Proof. It is sufficient to use Theorem 4.1 and Remark 2.4. \square

Theorem 4.3. *The Banach space $(c_0(\Gamma), \| \cdot \|_{\beta, p})$ is not uniformly convex in every direction.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\Gamma = \mathbb{N}$ and let $\{e_i\}_i$ be a standard basis in $c_0 = c_0(\mathbb{N})$. We set $z = e_1$, $u_n = \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} e_i$ and $v_n = u_n + z = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} e_i$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D^i(u_n) &= \begin{cases} \beta_i, & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq n+1 \\ 0, & \text{for } i > n+1, \end{cases} \\
D^i(v_n) &= \begin{cases} \beta_i, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n+1 \\ 0, & \text{for } i > n+1, \end{cases} \\
D^i\left(\frac{u_n + v_n}{2}\right) &= \begin{cases} \frac{\beta_1}{2}, & \text{for } i = 1 \\ \beta_i, & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq n+1 \\ 0, & \text{for } i > n+1 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$D^i(z) = \begin{cases} \beta_1, & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 0, & \text{for } i > 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence we get

$$\| \| v_n - u_n \| \|_{\beta, p} = \| \| z \| \|_{\beta, p} = \beta_1 > 0,$$

$$\|u_n\|_{\beta,p} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

$$\|v_n\|_{\beta,p} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$\lim_n \left\| \frac{u_n + v_n}{2} \right\|_{\beta,p} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and therefore the Banach space $(c_0, \|\cdot\|_{\beta,p})$ is not uniformly convex in every direction. \square

Finally, we recall that in [6] the following theorem is proved.

Theorem 4.4. *Let a set Γ be uncountable. Then the Banach space $c_0(\Gamma)$ with the max-norm is not isomorphic to a space that is uniformly convex in every direction.*

5. The modified Day norm and the non-strict Opial property. Now we recall the Opial property of a Banach space.

Definition 5.1 ([17]). A Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ has the Opial property if for each weakly null convergent sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ and each $x \neq 0$ in X

$$\limsup_n \|x_n\| < \limsup_n \|x_n - x\|.$$

A Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ has the non-strict Opial property if for each weakly null convergent sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ and each x in X

$$\limsup_n \|x_n\| \leq \limsup_n \|x_n - x\|.$$

In this section we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *The Banach space $(c_0(\Gamma), \|\cdot\|_{\beta,p})$ has the non-strict Opial property.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\Gamma = \mathbb{N}$ and $c_0 = c_0(\mathbb{N})$. Let $\{u_n\} \subset c_0$ tend weakly to $0 \in c_0$ and $u \in c_0 \setminus \{0\}$. Let us take $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then there exists $\tilde{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|u^i(x)| < \epsilon$$

for each $\tilde{i} < i \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore

$$|u_n^i| \leq |u_n^i - u^i| + |u^i| < |u_n^i - u^i| + \epsilon$$

for each $\tilde{i} < i \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now for each $1 \leq i \leq \tilde{i}$ we have either $u^i = 0$ or $u^i \neq 0$. In the second case setting $\eta_i = \min\{\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}|u^i|\}$ and taking into account the weak convergence of $\{u_n\}$ to 0, we find $\tilde{n}_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|u_n^i| < \eta_i$$

for $\tilde{n}_i < n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence we obtain

$$|u_n^i - u^i| \geq |u^i| - |u_n^i| > |u^i| - \eta_i > \frac{1}{2}|u^i| > |u_n^i|.$$

It is obvious that in the first case we have

$$|u_n^i| \leq |u_n^i - u^i|.$$

This implies that

$$|u_n^i| \leq |u_n^i - u^i|$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq \tilde{i}$ and all $\max\{\tilde{n}_1, \dots, \tilde{n}_{\tilde{i}}\} < n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Putting together all above inequalities we get

$$(xiv) \quad |u_n^i| \leq |u_n^i - u^i| + \epsilon$$

for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $\max\{\tilde{n}_1, \dots, \tilde{n}_{\tilde{i}}\} < n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Here observe that replacing u and u_n by suitably chosen \tilde{v}_n and \tilde{z}_n with $\lim_n \tilde{v}_n = u$, $\lim_n (\tilde{z}_n - u_n) = 0$ if necessary, we can assume that all numbers u_n^i and $u_n^i - u^i$ are different from 0.

Now we fix $\max\{\tilde{n}_1, \dots, \tilde{n}_{\tilde{i}}\} < n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have $D(u_n) = \{\beta_j u_n^{\tau(j, u_n)}\}_j$ and $D(u_n - u) = \{\beta_j (u_n^{\tau(j, u_n - u)} - u^{\tau(j, u_n - u)})\}_j$, where $\{\tau(j, u_n)\}_j$ and $\{\tau(j, u_n - u)\}_j$ are suitable permutations of the set \mathbb{N} of natural numbers. Using (xiv) and Corollary 2.8 with $\{s_j\}_j = \{\beta_j^p\}_j$, $\{t_j\}_j = \{|u_n^{\tau(j, u_n - u)} - u^{\tau(j, u_n - u)}|^p\}_j$ and $\{g(j)\}_j = \{\tau(j, u_n)\}_j$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u\|_{\beta, p} + \epsilon \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\beta_j |u_n - u|^{\tau(j, u_n - u)} \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} + \epsilon \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\geq \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\beta_j |u_n - u|^{\tau(j, u_n)} \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} + \epsilon \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\geq \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[\beta_j \left(|u_n^{\tau(j, u_n)} - u^{\tau(j, u_n)}| + \epsilon \right) \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\geq \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\beta_j |u_n^{\tau(j, u_n)}| \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|u_n\|_{\beta, p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \epsilon < 1$ is arbitrarily chosen, by passing n to $+\infty$, we get

$$\|u_n\|_{\beta, p} \leq \|u_n - u\|_{\beta, p}.$$

□

Observe that the Banach space $(c_0(\Gamma), \|\cdot\|_{\beta,p})$ does not have the Opial property as the following example shows.

Example 5.3. Consider $(c_0, \|\cdot\|_{\beta,p})$ with the standard basis $\{e_i\}_i$. Let us take a sequence $\{u_n\}_n = \{e_{n+1} + \dots + e_{n+n}\}_n$. This sequence is weakly convergent to $0 \in c_0$ and for $u = e_1$ we have

$$\lim_n \|u_n\|_{\beta,p} = \lim_n \|u_n - u\|_{\beta,p} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

6. The modified Day norm and smoothness. We begin with the following definition.

Definition 6.1 (see for example [12]). A Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is smooth if for each $x \in X$ with $\|x\|_X = 1$ there exists a unique functional $x^* \in X^*$ with $\|x^*\|_{X^*} = 1$ such that $x^*(x) = 1$.

In this section we extend the Day result ([5]).

Theorem 6.2. *The Banach space $(c_0(\Gamma), \|\cdot\|_{\beta,p})$ is not smooth.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\Gamma = \mathbb{N}$, $c_0 = c_0(\mathbb{N})$ and $\beta_1 > \beta_2$, and let $\{e_i\}_i$ be a standard basis in c_0 . Similarly as in [5] we take the plane $X_1 = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2\}$. It is easy to observe that the point

$$\frac{1}{(\beta_1^p + \beta_2^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} e_1 + \frac{1}{(\beta_1^p + \beta_2^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} e_2$$

is a corner of the unit sphere $S_{\|\cdot\|_{\beta,p}}$ in X_1 . So the Banach space $(c_0(\Gamma), \|\cdot\|_{\beta,p})$ is not smooth. □

7. The modified Day norm and normal structure. Normal structure is strictly connected with the diameter of a set (see [9] and [10]).

Definition 7.1. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be an infinite dimensional Banach space. For a nonempty, bounded and convex $C \subset X$ the number

$$r_{\|\cdot\|}(C, C) = \inf\{\sup\{\|y - y'\| : y' \in C\} : y \in C\}$$

is called the Chebyshev self-radius of C .

Definition 7.2. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be an infinite dimensional Banach space and C a nonempty, bounded and convex subset of X . We say that the set C is diametral if $r_{\|\cdot\|}(C, C) = \text{diam}_{\|\cdot\|}(C)$.

Definition 7.3. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. A convex set C of X has a normal structure if for every bounded and convex subset C_1 of C with $\text{diam}(C_1) > 0$ we have $r_{\|\cdot\|}(C_1, C_1) < \text{diam}_{\|\cdot\|}(C_1)$.

In particular a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ has a normal structure if it does not contain any diametral set, i.e. if $r_{\|\cdot\|}(C, C) < \text{diam}_{\|\cdot\|}(C)$ for each nonempty, non-singleton, bounded and convex set $C \subset X$.

M. S. Brodski and D. P. Milman characterized the normal structure in terms of a diametral sequence.

Definition 7.4 ([3]). Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. A bounded and not eventually constant sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is said to be diametral if

$$\lim_n \text{dist}_{\|\cdot\|}(x_{n+1}, \text{conv}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) = \text{diam}_{\|\cdot\|}\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}.$$

Theorem 7.5 ([3]). *A bounded and convex C of a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ has normal structure if and only if it does not contain a diametral sequence.*

Theorem 7.6. *The Banach space $(c_0(\Gamma), \|\cdot\|_{\beta,p})$ does not have normal structure.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\Gamma = \mathbb{N}$ and let $\{e_i\}_i$ be a standard basis in $c_0 = c_0(\mathbb{N})$. We set $x_1 = e_1$ and

$$x_n = \sum_{i=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+1}^{\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}} e_i$$

for $n = 2, \dots$. Then we have

$$\lim_n \text{dist}_{\|\cdot\|_{\beta,p}}(x_{n+1}, \text{conv}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \text{diam}_{\|\cdot\|_{\beta,p}}\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}.$$

□

8. The modified Day norm and asymptotic normal structure. The notion of asymptotic normal structure was introduced in [2].

Definition 8.1. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. If for each nonempty, non-singleton, bounded and convex set $C \subset X$ and for each sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ in C satisfying $x_n - x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a point $\tilde{x} \in C$ such that $\liminf_n \|x_n - \tilde{x}\| < \text{diam}_{\|\cdot\|}(C)$, then we say that a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ has asymptotic normal structure.

Theorem 8.2. *The Banach space $(c_0(\Gamma), \|\cdot\|_{\beta,p})$ does not have asymptotic normal structure.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\Gamma = \mathbb{N}$ and let $\{e_k\}_k$ be a standard basis in $c_0 = c_0(\mathbb{N})$. We set $u_1 = e_1$ and

$$u_i = \sum_{k=\frac{i(i+1)}{2}+1}^{\frac{(i+1)(i+2)}{2}} e_k$$

for $i = 2, 3, \dots$,

$$x_n = \begin{cases} (1 - \frac{j}{2^{2i}})u_i + u_{i+1}, & \text{if } n = 2^{2i} + j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{2i} \\ u_{i+1} + \frac{j}{2^{2i+1}}u_{i+2}, & \text{if } n = 2^{2i+1} + j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{2i+1}. \end{cases}$$

and

$$C = \overline{\text{conv}}\{x_n : n = 5, 6, \dots\}.$$

(see [16] and also [2]). Then we have

$$0 = \lim_n \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|_{c_0} = \lim_n \| \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|_{\beta, p}$$

and

$$\text{diam}_{\| \cdot \|_{\beta, p}}(C) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \lim_n \| \|x_n - x\|_{\beta, p}$$

for each $x \in C$. □

Acknowledgments. These results have been partially achieved within the framework of the STREVCOMS Project No. 612669 with funding from the IRSES Scheme of the FP7 Programme of the European Union (the first author).

REFERENCES

- [1] Boas, R. P., Jr., *Some uniformly convex spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **46** (1940), 304–311.
- [2] Baillon, J.-B., Schöneberg, R., *Asymptotic normal structure and fixed points of non-expansive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1981), 257–264.
- [3] Brodskii, M. S., Mil'man, D. P., *On the center of a convex set*, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) **59** (1948), 837–840.
- [4] Clarkson, J. A., *Uniformly convex spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1936), 396–414.
- [5] Day, M. M., *Strict convexity and smoothness of normed spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1955), 516–528.
- [6] Day, M. M., James, R. C., Swaminathan, S., *Normed linear spaces that are uniformly convex in every direction*, Canad. J. Math. **23** (1971), 1051–1059.
- [7] Dodds, P. G., Dodds, T. K., Sedaev, A. A., Sukochev, F. A., *Local uniform convexity and Kadec–Klee type properties in K -interpolation spaces. I: General theory*, J. Funct. Spaces Appl. **2** (2004), 125–173.
- [8] Garkavi, A. L., *On the optimal net and best cross-section of a set in a normed space* (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **26** (1962), 87–106.
- [9] Goebel, K., Kirk, W. A., *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [10] Goebel, K., Reich, S., *Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry, and Nonexpansive Mappings*, Marcel Dekker, 1984.
- [11] Hanner, O., *On the uniform convexity of L^p and l^p* , Ark. Mat. **3** (1956), 239–244.
- [12] Holmes, R. B., *Geometric Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, Springer, 1975.
- [13] Kirk, W. A., *A fixed point theorem for mappings which do not increase distances*, Amer. Math. Monthly **72** (1965), 1004–1006.

-
- [14] Lovaglia, A. R., *Locally uniformly convex Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1955), 225–238.
- [15] Maluta, E., *A diametrically complete set with empty interior in a reflexive LUR space*, J. Nonlinear Conv. Anal. **18** (2017), 105–111.
- [16] Mariadoss, S. A., Soardi, P. M., *A remark on asymptotic normal structure in Banach spaces*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **44** (1986), 393–395.
- [17] Opial, Z., *Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 591–597.
- [18] Rainwater, J., *Local uniform convexity of Day's norm on $c_0(\Gamma)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (1969), 335–339.
- [19] Smith, M. A., *Some examples concerning rotundity in Banach spaces*, Math. Ann. **233** (1978), 155–161.
- [20] Smith, M. A., Turett, B., *A reflexive LUR Banach spaces that lacks normal structure*, Canad. Math. Bull. **28** (1985), 492–494.

Monika Budzyńska
Institute of Mathematics
Maria Curie-Skłodowska University
20-031 Lublin
Poland
e-mail: monikab1@hektor.umcs.lublin.pl

Aleksandra Grzesik
Faculty of Mathematics
and Applied Physics
Rzeszow University of Technology
35-959 Rzeszów, Poland
e-mail: a.grzesik22@gmail.com

Mariola Kot
Faculty of Mathematics and Applied Physics
Rzeszow University of Technology
35-959 Rzeszów, Poland
e-mail: m.kot@prz.edu.pl

Received October 11, 2017