Linguistics

Genadz Tsykhun

The National Academy of Sciences, Minsk (Belarus)
Email: henadz.cychun@gmail.com; inlinasbel@tut.by
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7075-3994

The Etymological Commentary on Word Formation of Abstract Nouns with the Final Formant -*ць*

Komentarz etymologiczny do derywacji rzeczowników abstrakcyjnych na -ць

Этымалагічны каментар да словаўтварэння абстрактных назоўнікаў на -ць

Abstract

The article explores the word formation of abstract nouns with the final formant -*ць* in Belarusian. It also reveals the increased use of this formant in the sphere of concrete nouns. The group analysis of this word formation type allows the overcoming of the 'formal isolation' of a number of words and offer their etymologization. The research considers the origin of some words with the final -*ць* related to the field of natural phenomena (weather, climate, etc.): *гогоць* 'ice on the trees', *голць* 'sleet with snow', *шаць* 'frost', as well as some abstract nouns: *dohać* 'consolation', *трэпяць* 'something very white', *сыць* 'whimsicality' etc. The class of the nouns, distinguished on formal grounds, continues the Proto-Slavic word-formation type but also demonstrates some innovative trends. The possibility of the language contacts' influence on the word formation of the nouns with final -*ць* is stated.

Keywords: abstract nouns, language contacts, the Belarusian language, word formation, formant -*ць*, etymology

Abstrakt

Przedmiotem analizy jest słowotwórstwo rzeczowników abstrakcyjnych języka białoruskiego z formantem -*ць*. W toku analizy udowodniono, że rzeczowniki konkretne również często przybierają wymieniony formant słowotwórczy. Analiza zebranych derywatów pozwoliła opisać nie tylko strukturę formalną licznych leksemów, ale także ich cechy etymologiczne. Opisowi poddano pochodzenie zbioru wyrazów z formantem -*ць*, należących do pola semantycznego 'zjawiska przyrody (atmosferyczne, klimatyczne i in.)', np. *гогоць* 'gołoledź na drzewach', *голць*

'gołoledź i śnieg', *шаць* 'szron', oraz wybrane rzeczowniki abstrakcyjne, np. *dohać* 'pocieszenie', *трэпяць* 'coś bardzo białego', *сьıць* 'kaprysy' itd. Ze względu na budowę słowotwórczą analizowana klasa rzeczowników stanowi kontynuację prasłowiańskiego typu słowotwórczego, wykazuje jednak nowe tendencje. Na podstawie przeprowadzonego badania można wnioskować o wpływie kontaktów językowych na proces derywacji rzeczowników z formantem -*ць*.

Słowa kluczowe: rzeczowniki abstrakcyjne, kontakty językowe, język białoruski, słowotwórstwo, formant -*ць*, etymologia

Анатацыя

У артыкуле даследуецца словаўтварэнне абстрактных назоўнікаў з канцовым фармантам -*ць* у беларускай мове. Выяўлена пашыранае ўжыванне назоўнікаў з гэтым фармантам і ў сферы канкрэтных назоўнікаў. Групавы аналіз гэтага тыпу ўтварэнняў дазваляе пераадолець 'фармальную ізаляванасць' шэрагу слоў і прапанаваць іх этымалагізацыю. Разглядаецца паходжанне шэрагу слоў на -*ць*, якія адносяцца да сферы прыродных з'яў (атмасферных, кліматычных і інш.): *гогоць* 'галалёд на дрэвах', *голць* 'галалёдзіца са снегам', *шаць* 'іней', а таксама асобных абстрактных назоўнікаў: *dohać* 'суцяшэнне', *трэпяць* 'штосьці вельмі белае', *сыць* 'капрызы' і інш. Клас назоўнікаў, вылучаных па фармальным прызнаку, працягвае праславянскі словаўтваральны тып, але дэманструе таксама інавацыйныя тэндэнцыі. Канстатуецца магчымасць уздзеяння моўных кантактаў на словаўтварэнне назоўнікаў на -*ць*.

Ключавыя словы: абстрактныя назоўнікі, моўныя кантакты, беларуская мова, словаўтварэнне, фармант -*ць*, этымалогія

Abstract nouns have always posed certain problems in their etymology. A Swedish Slavist, Gunnar Jacobson, who was engaged in clarifying the origin of a number of such words, proposed 'найти формальный подход к словам, которые считались этимолоически "безнадежными"'[1], which would 'устранить формальную изоляцию исследуемого слова'[2] (Âkobson, 1969, p. 32). One of such formal approaches is the consideration of the etymology of abstract nouns within separate groups forming a semantic and word-formation community. The derivational analysis has a special weight in the etymology, which, apparently, can explain the fact that the first volumes of the major work of Franciszek Sławski entitled *Słownik prasłowiański*[3] contains an essay about the Slavonic word formation (SP 1, pp. 43–141; 2, pp. 13–60). It determined the nature of the dictionary, which, unfortunately, was not continued.

---

[1] 'to find a formal approach to the words that were considered etymologically "hopeless"'

[2] 'eliminate the formal isolation of the investigated word'

[3] 'Proto-Slavic dictionary'

In the general composition of Belarusian nouns which end in -*ць* and are character-ised by an 'адцягненым' / 'detachment' meaning, nouns with the -*асць* / -*осць* suffix prevail (Scâcko, 1977, p. 127). In the process of language development, these significantly prevailed in quantity over the relatively small the group of nouns belonging to the pre-Slavic state which end in -*сць* and can include newly-formed words like the noun *жарсць* 'passion' (from *жар* / heat, see: ÈSBM 3, p. 216), as well as the formations with the -*jь* suffix with broad semantics. The latter formations are etymologically of the greatest interest in the whole group of abstract nouns ending with -*ць*, where this formant can be attached to the extended stem or directly to the root, or it may enter into its composition and form a meaning with a high degree of presence of some feature inherent in this stem or root, cf. for example: 'Любіць яна пазіраць, як рабая даўгалычая свіння цеста апетуе ... *А сыць* тая, *сыць*!' (L. Kaliuha). In some dictionaries, the word *сыць* is explained by means of the word *сытасць* (Stankevič, 1990, p. 1113), which does not fully reflect the specific semantics of the word used, see in another dictionary *сыць* 'насычэнне, перанасычанасць' / 'satiety, fullness' (Vušackì slovazbor, 2014, p. 159). And the further 'адцягненасць' / 'detachment' of meaning is fixed on the territory of Navahrudčyna: *сыць* 'капрызы, самазадаволенасць, эгаізм' / 'caprice, complacency, selfishness': *сыць* узяла! (Kryvalʹcèvìč, 2016, p. 124). Such a clarification of meaning is present in other similar formations: 'Тые олеі *пáхоць*!' – is explained as 'вялікі пах' / 'a strong smell' next to the verb *пахоцéць* 'моцна пахнуць' / 'strongly smell' (TS 4, 1985, p. 18); 'Зобралосо людзей *бáгаць*' – when *бáгацéць* means 'узрастаць колькасна і якасна' / 'increase quantitatively and qualitatively' (TS 1, 1982, p. 35). Such parallelism of noun and verb forms may raise the question of the direction of derivation, especially since the corresponding verb is peculiar to the meaning of intensive action, as in *сыцéць* 'рабіцца больш сытым, укормленым' / 'to become more full, well-fed'. Compare the expression '*Сыць* вам!' 'пажаданне, ветлівае вітанне тых, хто ў гэты час есць' / 'a wish, a polite greeting to those who are eating at this time' (Kaspârovič, 1927, p. 299), where *сыць* can be perceived as both a noun and a verb, which confirms another polite wish: '*Сыць*, Божа!' 'смачна есці' / 'to enjoy e meal', correlated with the verb *сыціць* 'насычаць' / 'satiate' (Stankevič, 1990, p. 1113). A possible homonymy of forms can be seen in the rare *тхнець* 'духмянасць, водар' / 'fragrance, aroma' (чаромхаў *тхнець* / bird cherry fragrance, Alieś Salaviej) and non-fixed, but potentially existing in the language *тхнець* 'моцна пахнуць, адурманьваць пахам' / 'smell strongly, intoxicate by smell', correlated with *тхнуць* 'пахнуць' / 'smell', where the meaning of 'дыхнуць, павеяць водарам' / 'breathe, smell aroma' still prevails as a one-time act (ÈSBM 14, 2017, p. 279). For a similar *кісляць* 'кісляціна' / 'sour, acidic' (Vušackì slovazbor, 2016, p. 80), respectively, it will be rather correlated with the normative *кіслéць* 'станавіцца кіслым або больш кіслым' / 'become acidic or more acidic' (ÈSBM 2, 1980, p. 690) than the potentially possible *кíслець* 'пракісаць' / 'sour'. In some cases, formal changes may indicate the direction of derivation, compare *сьверць*, rus. *зуд* 'itch' to *свярцéць*, *свярбéць* 'часацца' / 'scratch', rus. *зудить* / 'itch' (Lastoŭskì, 1924, p. 216), *труць* 'атрута' /

'poison' to *труць*, *труціць* 'атручваць' / 'poison' (ÈSBM 14, 2017, p. 42). Very indicative is the record by M. Federowski from the Slonim region: *Kab tỳ być ni wièdaŭ* (Federowski, 1935, p. 406) with a noun identical to the verb *быць* / to be.

Thus, there is a separate word-formation and semantic class of abstract nouns ending in *-ць*, which in a generalised form express the concept that in comparable verbs is characterised by the intensity of action or duration of the state, compare in Václav Machek 'intensivum' when characterizing some verbs (Machek, p. 175) and 'глагольный интенсив' / 'verbal intensivum' in A. Trubačev (ÈSSÂ 1, p. 137)[4]. On the formal side, this class of nouns is determined by the stress on the first syllable in the presence of several syllables in the word.

At first sight, this class of abstract nouns is perceived as inherent exclusively in the vernacular. However, the fixation in the old Belarusian texts of the noun *добротъ* 'усё добрае як процілегласць злому, дабрата, добрая справа'[5] (GSBM 8, p. 146), as well as the presence of similar nouns in other Slavic languages (Polish, old Polish, Slovak, Czech dialect, see: SP 3, p. 307), calls into question the initial conclusion and calls for the search for traces of similar formations in Proto-Slavic languages. However, for the old Belarusian noun, borrowing is allowed (Stašajtene, 1973, p. 28), and for the old Ukrainian *добротъ,* the supposed source is the Polish word *dobroć* (Timčenko 1, 2002–2003, p. 212). A. T. Trubačev considers this noun as a derivative (variant?) from **dobrota* (ÈSSÂ 5, p. 44), and A. Bańkowski regards it as an old Polish innovation of the 14th century, which spread in the neighboring languages (Bańkowski 1, 2000, p. 278). The problem is the origin of one more formation ending in *-ць* – Belarusian *хуць* 'хаценне, жаданне', 'прыхільнасць, ахвота' / 'wish, desire', 'passion, affection': *Хуци* не маю до ее (Nasovìč, 1983, p. 685, 750), which is not usually given during the restoration in the etymological dictionaries as the Belarusian realization of Proto-Slavic **chotь* or West Slavic **chǫtь* (Boryś, 2005, p. 58, 71) apparently considering the possible borrowing of the Belarusian word through the Polish language from Czech *chuť* (<**chotěti*), compare F. Skaryna *хоть* / *хуть* 'жаданне' / 'desire' (PGS 2, 2013, p. 452) derived *nóхаць* 'памыканне, схільнасць' / 'addiction, attraction' (Lastoŭskì, 1924, p. 508).

But there are old Polish formations ending with *-ć* that are not confirmed in the old Belarusian language, such as *świąć* / *święć*: nie chcieli chodzić po tej *święci* (15th century; 'o ogólnym zn. "nazwa miejsca"', Długosz-Kurczabowa, 2003, p. 491).

Based on the description of the Proto-Slavic suffixation of nouns of Franciszek Sławski, it can be assumed that many Belarusian formations with *-ць* as the final formant can go back to the Proto-Slavic nouns with the **-tь* suffix, the last one is characterised as 'tworzący w psł. zwykle pierwotne abstrakta od pierwiastków

---

[4] Yuri Patsyupa to characterise a number of such verbs uses the concept of 'continuality of action' (Pacûpa, 2007, p. 208).

[5] 'all good as opposed to evil, kindness, good deed'

werbalnych'[6], although there are other cases: 'Niewiele jest przykładów na derywaty od pierwiastków niewerbalnych'[7] (Sławski, 2011, pp. 158–159). As an example of the latter, it is possible to quote Viciebsk *вóлаць* 'танюткія, кшталту нітак, косткачкі ў рыбнай мякаці'[8] (Vušackì slovazbor, 2016, p. 37), which formally corresponds to the Polish *włoć* 'асобныя недакошаныя сцябліны, пакінутыя сярод поля'[9], Lithuanian *váltis* 'колас аўсу, мяцёлка' / 'ear of oats, raceme' and goes back to the Proto-Slavic **voltь* (Fasmer, 1964, p. 344), despite the varied semantics commonly associated with *вóлас*. Here, the abstract character of the noun is realised in the concept of 'collection', cf. in F. Sławski: 'Wyjątkowo tylko spotyka się kolektiwa z suf. -tь'[10] (Sławski, 2011, p. 158).

In the 14th volume of *Этымалагічны слоўнік беларускай мовы*[11] there was a question of the origin of several words from the group of nouns ending in *-ць*, which, in addition to the common final formant, showed significant external similarity and even identity with a large discrepancy in semantics. As for the collected *трэ́паць* 'адходы пры трапанні лёну' / 'shives when scutching flax' there were no variants of another origin except from *трапáць* 'scutch' (ÈSBM 14, p. 189), especially, when the verb *трэ́паць* 'scutch', formally identical to the noun, was analyzed on the same page. As it has been shown above, this quite often occurs in the group of nouns ending with *-ць*. However, the nouns *трэ́пяць₁* 'багна, дрыгва' / 'swamp, marsh' and *трэ́пяць₂* 'тое, што вельмі белае' / 'what is very white' (irritates the eyes with its whiteness) remained etymologically dim, especially the latter, which is associated with hapaks with a 'безнадзейная' / 'hopeless' etymology due to its unusual semantics. Their analysis within the class of abstract nouns ending with *-ць* allowed for a hopefully satisfactory solution on the basis of the 'intensive' verb *трапятáць* 'дрыжаць, трымцець' / 'tremble, shiver' with the further development of the meaning of 'асляпляць мігценнем святла' / 'dazzle by flashing light' (ÈSBM 14, p. 191), compared with the dialectal *трапацíць* 'дрыжаць' / 'tremble' (Volkaй, 2016, p. 86).

Observations of the relatively transparent cases of the origin of abstract nouns ending with *-ць* give reasons to project them on a wider range of similar formations in order to clarify the etymology of other abstract nouns of this group of 'цьмяна' / 'dim' nature, in particular, associated with climatic and atmospheric phenomena.

Гóгоць 'галалёдзіца, абліваха' / 'sleet, ice slick': *гогоць* – наморожня така, падае на траву, ля́міць лес[12] (TS 1, p. 208). For etymology is essential to clarify the meaning – 'галалёд на дрэвах' / 'ice on the trees', which allows you to associate the word with onomatopoeic *гóгаць* 'біць адно аб другое, асабліва калі пры гэтым

---

6   'creating ordinary primary abstracts of verbal elements in the Proto-Slavic language'
7   'There are few examples of derivatives from nonverbal elements'
8   'thin, like threads, bones in fish pulp'
9   'separate not mowed stalks left in the fields'
10   'There is only a collection with the suf. -tь'
11   'The Etymological Dictionary of the Belarusian Language'
12   'ice rain, falls on the grass, breaks the forest'

узнікае гул'[13] (Nasovič, 1983, p. 115). The formal similarity of noun and verb, noted earlier, reinforces the etymology, not to mention the testimony of speakers of Turaŭ speech, who observed this phenomenon in nature (especially a kind of chime of icy branches of a tree). Onomatopoeic basis is present in the archaic saying: 'Благога жарабка дык і на пярэплаце (плоце) шкура *гагочаць*'[14] (Vušackì slovazbor, 2016, p. 230), which confirms the figurative etymon.

Голць, *гольць* 'галалёдзіца са снегам' / 'sleet with snow' (Stankevič, 1990, p. 171). The original Belarusian formation with the final formant *-ць*, which in old Russian and other Slavic languages correspond to nouns in a 'поўнагаласная форма' / 'full-sounding, sonorous' form, compare Russian *гóлоть*, Polish *gołoć*, Czech *holoť* and others, that gives reasons for the reconstruction of the Proto-Slavic **golotь* / **golъtь*, derived from **golъ* 'голы' / 'naked' (ÈSSÂ 6, p. 214; SP 8, p. 74). It is possible that the Belarusian dialects preserved the corresponding 'інтэнсіўны' дзеяслоў / 'intensive' verb from the same basis, compare *галькéць* 'гараваць, жыць у беднасці, нястачы' / 'grieve, live in poverty, need' (ŽNS, 2001, p. 129), recorded in the territory (Vušaččyna), where instead of *ц'* there is *к'*. According to Paul Wexler, 'the northeastern Belarusian dialect innovation could form isoglosses with the Novgorod dialect of the Russian language (cf. Russian *в лавке* 'у краме' / 'in the store')' (Vèksler, 2004, p. 193). For the semantics compare Russian dialect *голéча* 'галалёдзіца на дарозе' / 'ice on road' and the Belarusian *галéча* 'голь, беднякі' / 'beggar, the poor'. We can assume that *голць* is a newly-formed word compared to the old Belarusian *голоть*, which by the way has already been offered by T. Gorjačeva during the reconstruction of *гол(о)ць* with a lost *o* for the explanation of the above mentioned *гогоць* with replacement *г → л* (Gorâčeva, 2007, p. 40), but the latter seems doubtful due to the assumption of 'vertical' change of consonants. However, Vladimir Orel connects the Belarusian form with the Russian one, *оголтéлый* 'дзікі, ашалелы' / 'wild, rabid' (Orel 2, 2007, p. 360), which undoubtedly is based on the 'intensive' verb of the **gъltěti* type (cf. **obgъltěti*, ÈSSÂ 27, p. 52). The rare Belarusian word *агалцéлы* 'звар'яцелы, дурны' / 'mad, stupid' was deduced by Vaclaŭ Lastoŭski from the root *гал-*, to which he attributed and *агáльны* 'нахрапны і непрыязны / impudent and unfriendly' (Lastoŭskì, 1997, p. 419), as attested in the Bransk region: *агáл* 'о злом, завистливом человеке' / 'about wrong, envious man' ('Их завуть *агаламы*, злыи люди, *агалтелыи* люди'; BOS, 2007, p. 14)[15]. This may, apparently, refer to the Belarusian word *оголцить* (*агалціць*?) 'наслаць хваробу нагаворам або сурочыць' / 'to send a disease with a hex or jinx', written down by Aleksandr Afanasyev. The connection of these words with *голць*, as well as their etymology remains unclear (Žuravlev, 2005, p. 214), but it is possible, as will be shown below, that the 'magic'

---

[13] 'beat one against the other, especially if there is a buzz'

[14] 'At a bad foal and on the fence the skin is buzzing'

[15] Vitebsk *агалам* has the meaning 'together' (Vušackì slovazbor, 2016, p. 17).

semantics of the verb can correlate in a certain way with the specific meaning of the nouns correlated with it.

Шаць 'іней, шэрань; сырасць у паветры, якая асядае на рэчы і замярзае пушком' / 'frost, hoarfrost; dampness in the air, which settles on things and freezes down like a fluff' (Lastoŭskì, 1924, p. 224, 270; Stankevič, 1990, p. 1122). In this meaning, this word occurs mainly in the dialects adjacent to the Baltic language territory (LABNG 2, m. 44), compare *šac'* from the Braslaŭ region, which is used as a relevant to the Polish *szadź* 'іней, шэрань' / 'frost, hoarfrost' (Smułkowa, 2009, p. 733) in an adapted form ('hissing' *c'* instead of *dź*), which allows for including the noun into the group with the final formant *-ць*[16]. The etymology of the word is reflected in the Belarusian *шэ́рань* (from *шэры* '*gray*', which goes back to **šarъ / *šerъ* 'шэры, сівы' / 'gray, grey' in the West Slavic **šadъ* 'gray', see: Boryś, 2005, p. 591). The problem is known in the same territory **шаце́ць*, compare *шаці́ць* 'трызніць' / 'rave': У гаручцы чалавек *шаці́ць*[17] (BD 3, p. 80), whose connection with *шаць* is confirmed by the recording *šac'ic'*, *bľuz'nic'*, *ľaž̌yc' jak słat̬a* (Smułkowa, 2009, p. 347) where *słat̬a* means 'mokra pogoda' / 'wet weather' (Smułkowa, 2009, 728)[18]. Thus, the structure of 'abstract noun' – 'intensive verb' is restored. Here the abstract noun *шаць* 'зброд' / 'rabble' joins: Адна *шаць* кругом, звялі́ся людзі[19] (Vušackì slovazbor, 2016, p. 183). It is possible that this is a shortened form of the *ша́цiя* 'кампанія хуліганаў' / 'a company of hooligans' (Ûrčanka, 1969, p.102), borrowing *ша́тия* 'кампанія людзей непрыстойных паводзін' / 'a company of people of indecent behavior' from the Russian vernacular, formed on the model of the Church Slavonic *бра́тия* from *шата́ться* 'бадзяцца' / 'wander' (ESUM 6, p. 388)[20], but in the group of Belarusian nouns ending in *–ць,* it received an expressive connotation under the influence of the figurative semantics of the word *шэ́рань*; compare also: Напала *шаць* на дзерава[21] (Šatalava, 1975, p. 199).

---

[16] Incidentally, such cases of 'stunning' of the final (etymological) *-дз'* are observed in other nouns, indicating the expansion of the use of the formant *-ць* in the Belarusian language, compare *галале́ць* 'the same as ice slick' (SlPZB 1, p. 408), recorded in the Ashmyany district and in Kraslavshchyna. Taking into account this phenomenon, we can interpret the mysterious *dohać* 'repentance': Boh jemu daŭ dohać, ciper czeławiekam staŭ / The Lord repented him, and he became a man (Federowski), recorded near Valkavysk; most probably it goes back to *дагадзíць* 'console'.

[17] 'in a fever, a person is raving'

[18] Compare the variant *слоць* 'слякоть' / 'sleet' in *The Russian – Belarusian Dictionary* (Ârušèvìč, 2018, p. 284).

[19] 'There are no other people but the rabble around'

[20] Oleksa Gorbach deduced the Ukrainian argotic word *шатiя* with Yiddish *šutvis* 'суполка' / 'community' (Gorbač, 1966, p. 23), compare Yiddish *šutfes* 'accessory, community' (Astravuh, 2008, p. 728), because of the other vocalism is difficult to prove.

[21] 'Frost fell on a tree'

However, it is more likely that the atmospheric phenomenon is related to the close value of 'пакрыва (з лісця, снегу)'[22] (TSBM 5, p. 359), presented in a similar *шáцьце* 'убор, строй, адзенне' / 'attire, suit, clothes': *Багатаму не шкода й шацьця, а беднаму жаль і шмацьця*[23] (Sierżputoŭski). The latter is elevated to the category of *шáты* 'clothing' with unreliable etymology (ESUM 5, p. 288), perhaps of a Proto-Slavic origin (Schuster-Šewc, 3, p. 1408). The homonymous abstract word *шáты* as used in the expression *шаты водзяць* 'пра фізічны стан чалавека, які вызначаецца слабасцю' / 'about the physical state of man, which is determined by weakness' (Volkaŭ, 2016, p. 96), apparently, confirms the latter, attaching a mythological element thereto, unless it is a secondary formation from **šętati* 'wander'.

Summing up the results of the etymological derivation of abstract nouns with the final formant *-ць*, it is necessary to note that this formally selected group of words in the Belarusian language is characterised by a tendency to word-formation and semantic consolidation based on the Slavic derivational inheritance on one hand, and on a distinct expansion of the specified formant on the other, not only in the sphere of the abstract, but also in the sphere of specific vocabulary. Something similar was pointed out by Jan Otrębski in respect of the Lithuanian language, in the case of the nouns with the *-tis*, *-ties* suffix (Otrębski, 1965, p. 241), which may be regarded as a joint feature resulting from geographical factors. According to Otrębski, *nomina actionis* with these suffixes derived from verbs, or, more precisely, from their roots, partially retained their primary semantics, and partially received a specific meaning. It is interesting that most nouns belong to the feminine gender and their first syllable is stressed. This clear typological similarity in the absence of direct sources of borrowings[24] indicates, most likely, the relations characteristic of language unions. Interestingly enough, Otrębski notices the archaic character of similar Lithuanian formations ('tworzenie nowych wyrazów za pomocą przyrostka *-ti-* dawno już ustało'[25], Otrębski, 1965, p. 241), while the Belarusian dialects exhibit an extension of nouns with the final formant *-ць*. As can be seen from the study, these are used throughout the entire Belarusian language territory, but their greatest concentration is visible in the North-West, including all formations with the *–jь* suffix. However, perhaps there is a subjective factor (in the dictionary of V. Lastoŭski, who originated from Dzisienščyna, 'россыпы ўтварэньняў на *-jь* уражваюць сваёй колькасцю, непрапарцыянальна вялікай у параўнаньні да мовы літаратурнае'[26],

---

[22] 'cover (of leaves, snow)'

[23] 'The rich do not feel sorry for clothes, and the poor feel sorry for rags'

[24] Among the 'concrete' nouns ending n *-ць* found in the Belarusian dialects, it would be possible to attribute the word *кíпяць* 'the cheapskate, the miser' (Ragaŭsoŭ, 2002, p. 2) or identical *кíпяць* 'the rigid forest grass' (Kryval'tsevich, 2016, p. 68), probably connected with lit. *kipti* 'cling, grab' to potentially possible baltisms, but the exact Baltic matches have not yet been found.

[25] 'the formation of new expressions with the help of the suffix *-ti-* has ceased long ago'

[26] 'concentration of the formations ending in *-jь* is impressive in their number, disproportionately large in comparison with the language of literature'

Pacûpa, 2007, p. 201), but the other sources regarding the nouns with the final formant
-*ць* confirm the spatial 'expansion' of this formant from the Northwest.

Translated into English by Marharyta Svirydava

List of sources

Ârušèvič, Apanas. (2018). *Rasejska-belaruskì sloŭnìk. Arhìŭnaâ spadčyna*. Mìnsk: Belaruskaâ
navuka. [Ярушэвіч, Апанас. (2018). *Расейска-беларускі слоўнік. Архіўная спадчына*.
Мінск: Беларуская навука].

Astravuh, Alâksandar. (2008). *Ìdyš-belaruskì sloŭnìk: z prykazkamì, prymaŭkamì, vysloŭâmì,
frazèmamì, paraŭnan'nâmì, zyčan'nâmì, vìtan'nâmì, dražnìlkamì-kepìkamì, praklёnamì, zagad-
kamì, skoragavorkamì, kalamburamì, cytatamì z žydoŭskìh pesen', anèkdotaŭ ì lìtaraturnyh
tvoraŭ*. Mìnsk: Medison. [Астравух, Аляксандар. (2008). *Ідыш-беларускі слоўнік:
з прыказкамі, прымаўкамі, выслоўямі, фразэмамі, параўнаньнямі, зычаньнямі,
вітаньнямі, дражнілкамі-кепікамі, праклёнамі, загадкамі, скорагаворкамі, каламбурамі,
цытатамі з жыдоўскіх песень, анэкдотаў і літаратурных твораў*. Мінск: Медисон].

Bańkowski, Andrzej. (2000). *Etymologiczny słownik języka polskiego*. Vol. 1–2. Warszawa:
Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN.

BD – *Belaruskaâ dyâlektalogìâ: matèryâly ì dasledavannì*. (2010 and the next). Issue 1–5.
Mìnsk: Belaruskaâ navuka. [*Беларуская дыялекталогія: матэрыялы і даследаванні*.
(2010 і наст.). Вып. 1–5. Мінск: Беларуская навука].

Boryś, Wiesław. (2005). *Słownik etymologiczny języka polskiego*. Kraków: Wydawnictwo
Literackie.

BOS – Golovanevskij, Arkadij (ed.). (2007). *Brânskij oblastnoj slovar'*. Brânsk: Brânskij
gosudarstvennyj universitet. [Голованевский, Аркадий (ред.). (2007). *Брянский област-
ной словарь*. Брянск: Брянский государственный университет].

Długosz-Kurczabowa, Krystyna. (2003). *Nowy słownik etymologiczny języka polskiego*.
Warszawa: Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN.

ÈSBM – Cyhun, Genadz′ (ed., Vol. 1–8 ed. Vìktar Martynaŭ). (1978 and the next). *Ètymalagìčny
sloŭnìk belaruskaj movy*. Vol. 1–14. Mìnsk: Belaruskaâ navuka (Navuka ì tèhnìka). [Цы-
хун, Генадзь (рэд., т. 1–8 рэд. Віктар Мартынаў). (1978 і наст.). *Этымалагічны слоўнік
беларускай мовы*. Т. 1–14. Мінск: Беларуская навука (Навука і тэхніка)].

ÈSSÂ – Trubačev, Oleg. (1974 and the next). *Ètimologičeskij slovar' slavânskih âzykov.
Praslavânskij leksičeskij fond*. Issue 1–14. Moskva: Nauka. [Трубачев, Олег. (1974
и след.). *Этимологический словарь славянских языков. Праславянский лексический
фонд*. Вып. 1–14. Москва: Наука].

ESUM – Mel′ničuk, Oleksandr (ed.). (1982–2012). *Etimologìčnij slovnik ukraïns'koï movi*.
Vol. 1–6. Kiïv: Naukova dumka. [Мельничук, Олександр (рэд.). (1982–2012). *Етимо-
логічний словник української мови*. Т. 1–6. Київ: Наукова думка].

Fasmer, Maks. (1964–1973). *Ètimologičeskij slovar' russkogo âzyka*. Transl. from German and Append. Olega Trubačeva. Vol. 1–4. Moskva: Progress. [Фасмер, Макс. (1964–1973). *Этимологический словарь русского языка*. Перев. с нем. и дополн. Олега Трубачева. Т. 1–4. Москва: Прогресс].

Federowski, Michał. (1897–1981). *Lud białoruski na Rusi Litewskiej. Materiały do etnografii słowiańskiej zgromadzone w latach 1877–1905*. Vol. 1–8. Vol. 4 (1935): *Przysłowia, żarciki, wyrażenia stałe oraz zagadki ludu, mieszczan i zagrodowców z okolic Grodna, Sokółki, Białegostoku, Bielska, Wołkowyska, Słonima, Nowogródka, Słucka, Lidy, Wilejki, Święcian i Oszmiany*. Warszawa: Towarzystwo Naukowe Warszawskie.

GSBM – Bulyka, Alâksandr (ed.). (1982–2017). *Gìstaryčny sloǔnìk belaruskaj movy*. Issue 1–37. Mìnsk: Navuka ì tèhnìka (Belaruskaâ navuka). [Булыка, Аляксандр (рэд.). (1982–2017). *Гістарычны слоўнік беларускай мовы*. Вып. 1–37. Мінск: Навука і тэхніка (Беларуская навука)].

Kaspârovič, Mikola. (1927). *Vìcebskì kraёvy sloǔnìk: matar'âly*. Vìcebsk: Vydan'ne Vìcebskaga Akrugovaga Tavarystva Kraâznaǔstva ì vydavectva 'Zarâ Zapada'. [Каспяровіч, Мікола. (1927). *Віцебскі краёвы слоўнік: матар'ялы*. Віцебск: Выданьне Віцебскага Акруговага Таварыства Краязнаўства і выдавецтва 'Заря Запада'].

Kryval'cèvič, Raâ. (2016). *Blìzkì svet: hatnì sloǔnìk-uspamìn*. Mìnsk: Tèhnalogiâ. [Крывальцэвіч, Рая. (2016). *Блізкі свет: хатні слоўнік-успамін*. Мінск: Тэхналогія].

LABNG – Bìryla, Mìkalaj; Mackevič, Ûzèfa (eds.). (1993–1998). *Leksičny atlas belaruskìh narodnyh gavorak*. T. 2. 1994: *Sel'skaâ gaspadarka*. Mìnsk: Nacyânal'naâ akadèmìâ navuk Belarusì. Instytut movaznaǔstva ìm. Âkuba Kolasa. [Бірыла, Мікалай; Мацкевіч, Юзэфа (рэд.). (1993–1998). *Лексічны атлас беларускіх народных гаворак*. Т. 2. 1994: *Сельская гаспадарка*. Мінск: Нацыянальная акадэмія навук Беларусі. Інстытут мовазнаўства ім. Якуба Коласа].

Lastoǔskì, Vaclaǔ. (1924). *Padručny rasìjska-kryǔskì (belaruskì) sloǔnìk*. Koǔna: Drukarnâ A. Baka. [Ластоўскі, Вацлаў. (1924). *Падручны расійска-крыўскі (беларускі) слоўнік*. Коўна: Друкарня А. Бака].

Machek, Václav. (1968). *Etymologický slovník jazyka českého*. The 2nd edition corrected and added. Praha: Academia, Nakladatelství Československé akademie věd.

Materiały do słownika białorusko-polskiego, zebrane przez Michała Federowskiego. Vol. 1. *Słownik języka białoruskiego. Materiały językowe, właściwości mowy*. In: *Archiwum Michała Federowskiego. Zbiory specjalne Biblioteki Uniwersytetu Warszawskiego. Oddział rękopisów*. No. 444.

Nasovìč, Ìvan. (1983). *Sloǔnìk belaruskaj movy*. Mìnsk: Belaruskaâ Saveckaâ Èncyklapedyâ. [Насовіч, Іван. (1983). *Слоўнік беларускай мовы*. Мінск: Беларуская Савецкая Энцыклапедыя].

Orel, Vladimir. (2007). *Russian Etymological Dictionary*. Vol. 2: *K–O*. Calgary: Octavia.

Pacûpa, Ûry. (2007). Kryvìckì leksykon: sloǔnìk-dètèktyǔ. *Arche,* 3, pp. 159–211. [Пацюпа, Юры. (2007). Крывіцкі лексыкон: слоўнік-дэтэктыў. *Arche*, 3, с. 159–211].

PGS – Bulyka, Alâksandr (ed.). (2013). *Padručny gìstaryčny sloŭnìk substantyŭnaj leksìkì*. Vol. 1–2. Mìnsk: Belaruskaâ navuka. [Булыка, Аляксандр Мікалаевіч (рэд.). (2013). *Падручны гістарычны слоўнік субстантыўнай лексікі*. Т. 1–2. Мінск: Беларуская навука].

Ragaŭcoŭ, Vasìl′. (2002. 16 october). Matčynyâ slovy: Z leksìkì strèšynskaj gavorkì. *Naša slova*. [Рагаўцоў, Васіль Іванавіч. (2002. 16 кастр.). Матчыныя словы: З лексікі стрэшынскай гаворкі. *Наша слова*].

Šatalava, Lûboŭ. (1975). *Belaruskae dyâlektnae slova*. Mìnsk: Navuka ì tèhnìka. [Шаталава, Любоў. (1975). *Беларускае дыялектнае слова*. Мінск: Навука і тэхніка].

Schuster-Šewc, Heinz. (1978–1996). Historisch-etymologisches Wörterbuch der oder- und niedersorbichen Sprache. Bd. 1–5. Bautzen: VEB Domowina-Verlag.

Seržputoŭskì, Alâksandr. *Prykazkì ì prymaŭkì belarusaŭ* (manuscript; Mìnsk: Ìnstytut mastactvaznaŭstva, ètnagrafìì ì fal′kloru ìmâ K. Krapìvy NAN Belarusì). [Сержпутоўскі, Аляксандр. *Прыказкі і прымаўкі беларусаў* (рукапіс; Мінск: Інстытут мастацтвазнаўства, этнаграфіі і фальклору імя К. Крапівы НАН Беларусі)].

SlPZB – Mackevìč, Ûzèfa (ed.). (1979). *Sloŭnìk belaruskìh gavorak paŭnočna-zahodnâj Belarusì ì âe pagranìčča*. Vol. 1. Mìnsk: Navuka ì tèhnìka. [Мацкевіч, Юзэфа (ed.). (1979). *Слоўнік беларускіх гаворак паўночна-заходняй Беларусі і яе паграніча*. Т. 1. Мінск: Навука і тэхніка].

Smułkowa, Elżbieta (ed.). (2009). *Brasławszczyzna. Pamięć i współczesność*. Vol. 2. *Słownictwo dwujęzycznych mieszkańców rejonu (Słownik brasławski)*. Warszawa: Wydawnictwa Uniwersytetu Warszawskiego.

SP – Sławski, Franciszek (ed.). (1974 and the next). *Słownik prasłowiański*. Wrocław–Warszawa–Kraków–Gdańsk: Zakład Narodowy im. Ossolińskich, Wydawnictwo PAN.

Stankevič, Ân. (1990). *Belorussko-russkij (Velikolitovsko-russkij) slovar' / Belaruska-rasìjski (Vâlikalitoŭska-rasìjski) sloŭnik / Byelorussian-russian (Greatlitvan-Russian) Dictionary*. New York: Lew Sapieha Greatlitvan (Byelorussian) Foundation. [Станкевіч, Ян. (1990). *Белорусско-русский (Великолитовско-русский) словарь / Беларуска-расійскі (Вялікалітоўска-расійскі) слоўнік / Byelorussian-russian (Greatlitvan-Russian) Dictionary*. New York: Lew Sapieha Greatlitvan (Byelorussian) Foundation].

Timčenko, Êvgen (ed.). (2002–2003). *Materìali do slovnika pisemnoï ta knižnoï ukraïns′koï movi XV–XVIII st*. Book 1–2. Kiïv–N′û-Jork: Ìnstitut ukraïns′koï movi NAN Ukraïni [Тимченко, Євген (рэд.). (2002–2003). *Матеріали до словника писемної та книжної української мови XV–XVIII ст*. Кн. 1–2. Київ–Нью-Йорк: Інститут української мови НАН України].

TS – Kryvìckì, Alâksandr (ed.). (1982–1987). *Turaŭskì sloŭnìk*. Vol. 1–5. Mìnsk: Navuka ì tèhnìka. [Крывіцкі, Аляксандр (рэд.). (1982–1987). *Тураўскі слоўнік*. Т. 1–5. Мінск: Навука і тэхніка].

TSBM – Atrahovìč, Kandrat (Krapìva Kandrat) (ed.). (1977–1984). *Tlumačal′ny sloŭnìk belaruskaj movy*. Vol. 1–5. Mìnsk: Belaruskaâ Saveckaâ Èncyklapedyâ. [Атраховіч, Кандрат Кандратавіч (Крапіва Кандрат) (рэд.). (1977–1984). *Тлумачальны слоўнік беларускай мовы*. Т. 1–5. Мінск: Беларуская Савецкая Энцыклапедыя].

Ûrčanka, Georgìj. (1969). *Narodnaâ sìnanìmìka*. Mìnsk: Navuka ì tèhnìka. [Юрчанка, Георгій. (1969). *Народная сінаніміка*. Мінск: Навука і тэхніка].

Volkaǔ, Ìvan. (2016). *Polackìâ dyâmenty: dyâlektny sloǔnìk*. Mìnsk: Belaruskaâ navuka. [Волкаў, Іван. (2016). *Полацкія дыяменты: дыялектны слоўнік*. Мінск: Беларуская навука].

Vušackì slovazbor Rygora Baradulìna. (2014). Mensk: Knìgazbor. [*Вушацкі словазбор Рыгора Барадуліна*. (2014). Менск: Кнігазбор].

ŽNS – Kuncèvìč, Lûboǔ; Âškìn, Ìvan (eds.). (2001). *Žyvoe naša slova: dyâlektalagìčny zbornìk*. Mìnsk: Belaruskaâ navuka. [Кунцэвіч, Любоў; Яшкін, Іван (рэд.). (2001). *Жывое наша слова: дыялекталагічны зборнік*. Мінск: Беларуская навука].

References

Âkobson, Gunar. (1969). Celi i metody ètimologizacii slov, vyražaûŝih nekotorye abstraktnye ponâtiâ. In: Žanna Varbot and others (eds.). *Ètimologiâ 1967* (pp. 32–35). Moskva: Nauka. [Якобсон, Гунар. (1969). Цели и методы этимологизации слов, выражающих некоторые абстрактные понятия. В: Жанна Варбот и др. (ред.). *Этимология 1967* (с. 32–35). Москва: Наука].

Gorâčeva, Tat′âna. (2007). Vostočnoslavânskie ètimologii. In: Žanna Varbot (ed.). *Ètimologiâ 2003–2005* (pp. 37–48). Moskva: Nauka. [Горячева, Татьяна. (2007). Восточнославянские этимологии. В: Жанна Варбот (ред.). *Этимология 2003–2005* (с. 37–48). Москва: Наука].

Gorbač, Oleksa. (1966). Argo ukraïns′kih školârìv ì studentìv. In: *Naukovì zapiski Ukraïns′kogo Vìl′nogo Unìversitetu. 1964–1966. Fìlosofìčnij Fakul′tet*. Mûnhen: [s.n.]. Part. 8, pp. 3–55. [Горбач, Олекса. (1966). Арго українських школярів і студентів. У: *Наукові записки Українського Вільного Університету. 1964–1966. Філософічний Факультет*. Мюнхен: [s.n.]. Ч. 8, с. 3–55].

Lastoǔskì, Vaclaǔ. (1997). *Vybranyâ tvory*. Mìnsk: Belaruskì knìgazbor. [Ластоўскі, Вацлаў. (1997). *Выбраныя творы*. Мінск: Беларускі кнігазбор].

Otrębski, Jan. (1965). *Gramatyka języka litewskiego*. T. 2. *Nauka o budowie wyrazów*. Warszawa: Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe.

Scâcko, Pavel. (1977). *Belaruskae narodnae slovaǔtvarènne. Afiksal′nyâ nazoǔnìkì*. Mìnsk: Navuka ì tèhnìka. [Сцяцко, Павел. (1977). *Беларускае народнае словаўтварэнне. Афіксальныя назоўнікі*. Мінск: Навука і тэхніка].

Sławski, Franciszek. (2011). *Słowotwórstwo, słownictwo i etymologia słowiańska*. Kraków: Polska Akademia Umiejętności.

Stašajtene, Valentina. (1973). *Abstraktnaâ leksika: na materiale starobelorusskih pis′mennyh pamâtnikov XV–XVII vekov*. Vil′nûs: Periodika. [Сташайтене, Валентина. (1973). *Абстрактная лексика: на материале старобелорусских письменных памятников XV–XVII веков*. Вильнюс: Периодика].

Vèksler, Pol. (2004). *Gìstaryčnaâ fanalogìâ belaruskae movy*. Transl. from English A. Lìtvìnoǔskaâ, M. Ramanoǔskì. Mìnsk: Vydavec Ì. P. Logvìnaǔ. [Вэкслер, Пол.

(2004). *Гістарычная фаналогія беларускае мовы*. Перакл. з англ. А. Літвіноўская, М. Раманоўскі. Мінск: Выдавец І. П. Логвінаў].

Žuravlev, Anatolij. (2005). *Âzyk i mif: lingvističeskij kommentarij k trudu A. N. Afanas'eva «Poètičeskie vozzreniâ slavân na prirodu»*. Moskva: Izdatel′stvo 'Indrik'. [Журавлев, Анатолий. (2005). *Язык и миф: лингвистический комментарий к труду А. Н. Афанасьева «Поэтические воззрения славян на природу»*. Москва: Издательство „Индрик"].

Article submission date: 21 January 2019